Request to Speak to a Committee of Council

4.3

Standing Committee Requested

Kindly indicate which Standing Committee: *

Board of Health

Requestor Information

Name of Individual: * Diane Sprules, BSc, MSc (Biology)
Name of Organization: * People for Safe Drinking Water
Do you or your organization represent a lobbyist (voluntary) ☐ Yes ☐ No
Contact Number: *
Email Address: *
Mailing Address: *

Reason(s) for delegation request: *

I would like to present data on tooth decay and fluorosis rates from fluoridated and unfluoridated communities, including the latest (2008) report from Halton. Also I would like to show data on tooth decay rates compared to income levels and the crisis of tooth decay which is occurring in poverty stricken areas, that is not being solved by water fluoridation. I hope you will consider my request as I do believe it will bring further information for the the councillors to consider. Thank you, Diane Sprules, BSc, MSc, (Biology)

Will you be submitting a formal presentation?* ☐ Yes ☐ No

☑ Overhead projector required for the presentation
☑ Power Point required for the presentation

Requests to speak to Council are forwarded to the Standing Committee for consideration. Once considered by Committee, and approved, you will be notified of the date for your presentation Personal information collected on this form is authorized under Section 5.10(2) of the City's Procedural By-law No. 03-301 for the purpose of contacting individuals and/or organizations requesting an opportunity to appear as a delegation before a Standing Committee and will be published with the Committee Agenda. The Voluntary Lobbyist Registry is a public document and will be available for viewing in the City Clerk's office. The Procedural By-law is a requirement of Section 238(2) of the Municipal Act. Questions about its collection can be directed to the Manager of Legislative Services, 77 James St N., Suite 220, Hamilton, ON L8R 2K3 (905) 546-2424 ext. 5409
Hamilton, Ontario
July 9, 2008
B.Sc., M.Sc. (Biology)
Diane Sprules

Wasteful and Ineffective
Water Fluoridation: Expensive,
Less than 1% of fluoridated water is actually drunk.
Less than 1% is drunk

- etc.
- agriculture
- golf courses
- gardens
- car washes
- baths
- showers
- lawn watering
- dishwashers
- washing machines
- down the toilet

...goes:
on fluoridated water
payers' money spent
More than 99% of tax
Fluoridated communities in Halton Region 2005-
More dental decay in 13-year olds in 100%
Region (Nosal 2008)

% Fluorosis increasing in 13-year olds in Halton
The magnitude of fluoridation's effect is not large in absolute terms, is often not statistically significant, and may not be of clinical significance.
There was little evidence to show that water fluoridation has reduced social inequalities in dental health.

- Etc., etc...
- Health crisis
- Connecticut: Statewide fluoridation since 1960 – oral
- Boston: Fluoridated since 1978 – dental crisis
- Unmet health care need
- Cincinnati: Fluoridated since 1979 – tooth decay #1

Water fluoridation and poverty
medically effective. Fluoridation either as economically sound or as treatment. The weight of evidence does not support water treatment of delivering an extremely inefficient way. Water fluoridation is an extremely inefficient way