Council Direction:
Not Applicable.

Information:
The Municipal Performance Measurement Program ("MPMP" or "Program") was first introduced by the Province of Ontario for the year ended December 31, 2000. The Program’s benefits include strengthening accountability, improving performance, stimulating productivity and creativity and improving budget processes. The services included in MPMP reflect major expenditures for municipalities, reflect areas of Provincial – Municipal interest, reflect high interest and value to the public and have data that is relatively easy to collect and fall under municipal responsibility.

Services reported for 2012 include: local government, fire, police, building services, roadways, transit, wastewater, storm water, drinking water, waste management, parks and recreation, library and land use planning.

Section 299 of the Municipal Act, 2001 provides publishing guidelines for the taxpayers of certain municipal performance measurement information within nine months of the calendar year (i.e. September 30th). Annually, the City of Hamilton posts MPMP
information on its’ website and submits it to the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing (refer to Appendix “A” to report FCS13084).

Ongoing review of the performance measures, trends, and service levels is important. Decreases in unit costs may be indicative of improvements in efficiency, lower service delivery costs or reduction in service levels while, increases in unit costs, may be indicative of enhancements in service levels, increased service delivery costs or additional maintenance costs.

Comparison of MPMP results, with costs in the City Operating Budget is difficult, since services and costs are reported differently. The reporting instructions for MPMP include clear definitions of services to allow for comparison across all municipalities in Ontario. One of the differences is that corporate program support services including: Information Services, Human Resources, Payroll, Accounts Receivable, Accounts Payable, Purchasing, Procurement, Accounting Services, Risk Management, Print and Mail, Fleet and Facilities are allocated to each of the service areas. These differences affect the reporting of the services in the Corporate Structure of the Operating Budget. Another difference is that the MPMP results for 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 are based on financial reporting standards adopted by municipalities in 2009. The modified accrual basis of accounting was replaced with full accrual accounting where tangible capital assets are reported on the balance sheet and changes in unfunded liabilities for post-employment, retirement and pension benefits, post-closure and closure landfill liabilities are reported as operating expenses.

Operating costs reported in MPMP include: salaries, wages, benefits, materials, contracted services, rents, financial expenses, external transfers and internal allocations between service areas. MPMP operating costs do not include: transfers to reserves, principal repayments on long-term debt, interest on long-term debt and amortization of tangible capital assets. Operating costs include some project expenses in the capital budget that do not represent spending on tangible capital assets.

Total costs reported in MPMP include operating costs, interest on long-term debt and amortization of tangible capital assets.

Appendices / Schedules:
NOTICE TO RATEPAYERS

2012 MPMP RESULTS
(Municipal Performance Measurement Program)

September 30th, 2013

The City of Hamilton’s 2012 results under the Province’s Municipal Performance Measurement Program ("MPMP") are summarized in the attached document.

The goals of MPMP are:
- Create measures/indicators of efficiency and effectiveness of public services
- Produce information that municipalities can use to make decisions to improve local services
- Strengthen municipal accountability to taxpayers
- Monitor ongoing performance to assist in planning for future commitments
- Evaluate past performance and allow municipalities to share best practices

The attached document provides the City of Hamilton’s MPMP results for 2008 to 2012 for comparison purposes. There are many factors that can contribute to results for each of the performance measures. These factors can include service levels, area and population served, climate, geographic location, age of infrastructure and accounting practices. New accounting practices adopted in 2009 affect the comparability of some of the efficiency measures for 2009 to 2012 against efficiency measures for 2008. Refer to the notes at the bottom of the chart (page 10) for further explanation.

The 2012 MPMP Results are available on the City of Hamilton’s website at http://www.hamilton.ca/NewsandPublications/PublicationsandReports
If you have any questions please contact Tony Del Monaco in the Corporate Services Department at 905-546-2424 ext 3020.

Thank you.

Chris Murray
City Manager
## City of Hamilton
### Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP)
#### 2012 Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Municipal Administration</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1a Operating costs for governance and corporate management as a percentage of total municipal operating costs</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>3.80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1b Total costs for governance and corporate management as a percentage of total municipal costs</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fire Services</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1a Operating costs for fire services per $1,000 of assessment</td>
<td>$1.57</td>
<td>$1.66</td>
<td>$1.68</td>
<td>$1.73</td>
<td>$1.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1b Total costs for fire services per $1,000 of assessment</td>
<td>$1.65</td>
<td>$1.73</td>
<td>$1.74</td>
<td>$1.79</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of emergency responses</td>
<td>23,405</td>
<td>23,984</td>
<td>22,701</td>
<td>21,921</td>
<td>22,381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of residential structural fires</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dollar loss due to structural fires</td>
<td>$12.3M</td>
<td>$9.0M</td>
<td>$20.1M</td>
<td>$16.9M</td>
<td>$11.6M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2 Number of residential fire related injuries per 1,000 persons.</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3 Number of residential fire related injuries averaged over 5 years per 1,000 persons.</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4 Number of residential fire related fatalities per 1,000 persons.</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5 Number of residential fire related fatalities averaged over 5 years per 1,000 persons.</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6 Number of residential structural fires per 1,000 households.</td>
<td>1.219</td>
<td>0.664</td>
<td>1.029</td>
<td>1.103</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of residential fire related injuries</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of residential fire related injuries over 5 years</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of residential fire related fatalities</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of residential fire related fatalities over 5 years</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of residential structural fires</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>229</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of persons</td>
<td>535,234</td>
<td>531,057</td>
<td>528,502</td>
<td>525,697</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of households</td>
<td>215,733</td>
<td>212,262</td>
<td>209,965</td>
<td>207,667</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Police Services</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1a Operating costs for police services per person</td>
<td>$275.88</td>
<td>$264.14</td>
<td>$250.18</td>
<td>$244.64</td>
<td>$244.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1b Total cost for police services per person</td>
<td>$281.02</td>
<td>$269.27</td>
<td>$255.45</td>
<td>$249.99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of persons (i.e. population)</td>
<td>535,234</td>
<td>531,057</td>
<td>528,502</td>
<td>525,697</td>
<td>519,109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating costs for police services per household</td>
<td>$684.45</td>
<td>$660.85</td>
<td>$629.73</td>
<td>$619.30</td>
<td>$623.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of households</td>
<td>215,733</td>
<td>212,262</td>
<td>209,965</td>
<td>207,667</td>
<td>203,643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Crime rate per 1,000 persons (crimes defined by Statistics Canada)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3 Property crime rate</td>
<td>34.923</td>
<td>35.813</td>
<td>38.577</td>
<td>40.987</td>
<td>32.799</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4 Total crime rate</td>
<td>49.771</td>
<td>53.041</td>
<td>56.794</td>
<td>58.541</td>
<td>61.274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5 Youth crime rate per 1,000 youths (see note 1)</td>
<td>39.909</td>
<td>37.265</td>
<td>40.438</td>
<td>49.742</td>
<td>61.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6 Crime rate for other criminal offences</td>
<td>4.499</td>
<td>4.542</td>
<td>4.691</td>
<td>4.544</td>
<td>18.940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Building Services</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1a Operating costs for building permits and inspections services for $1,000 of construction activity (based on permits issued)</td>
<td>$5.37</td>
<td>$9.60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1b Total costs for building permits and inspections services per $1,000 of construction activity (based on permits issued)</td>
<td>$5.43</td>
<td>$9.69</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total value of construction activity (based on permits issued) / $1,000</td>
<td>1,499,627</td>
<td>740,226</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Median number of days to review a complete permit application and issue a permit or not issue a permit:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2 Category 1: Houses</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2b Category 2: Small Buildings</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2c Category 3: Large Buildings</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2d Category 4: Complex Buildings</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transportation - Roads</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1a Operating costs for paved (hard top) roads per lane kilometre</td>
<td>$4,073.71</td>
<td>$3,370.04</td>
<td>$3,739.45</td>
<td>$3,623.44</td>
<td>$2,595.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total costs for paved (hard top) roads per lane kilometre</td>
<td>$14,810.92</td>
<td>$15,495.49</td>
<td>$16,305.31</td>
<td>$15,646.77</td>
<td>$6,477.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paved lane kilometres</td>
<td>6,326</td>
<td>6,302</td>
<td>6,446</td>
<td>6,302</td>
<td>6,355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2a Operating costs for unpaved (loose top) roads per lane kilometre</td>
<td>$10,933.19</td>
<td>$14,552.63</td>
<td>$17,090.77</td>
<td>$13,521.46</td>
<td>$6,477.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total costs for unpaved (loose top) roads per lane kilometre</td>
<td>$13,572.64</td>
<td>$28,666.86</td>
<td>$26,407.56</td>
<td>$26,068.56</td>
<td>$36,068.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unpaved lane kilometres</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3a Operating costs for bridges and culverts per square metre of surface area</td>
<td>$15.10</td>
<td>$8.61</td>
<td>$12.97</td>
<td>$12.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total costs for bridges and culverts per square metre of surface area</td>
<td>$31.35</td>
<td>$24.43</td>
<td>$28.46</td>
<td>$27.93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Square metres of surface area</td>
<td>190,241</td>
<td>191,211</td>
<td>191,175</td>
<td>190,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4a Operating costs for winter maintenance of roadways per lane kilometre</td>
<td>$2,586.25</td>
<td>$3,569.35</td>
<td>$2,510.16</td>
<td>$3,144.30</td>
<td>$4,638.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total costs for winter control maintenance of roadways per lane kilometre</td>
<td>$2,846.97</td>
<td>$3,831.29</td>
<td>$2,763.50</td>
<td>$3,397.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane kilometres</td>
<td>6,379</td>
<td>6,359</td>
<td>6,503</td>
<td>6,483</td>
<td>6,492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.5 Percentage of paved lane kilometres rated as good to very good</td>
<td>64.6%</td>
<td>61.4%</td>
<td>53.0%</td>
<td>53.0%</td>
<td>49.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.6 Percentage of bridges and culverts where the condition is rated as good to very good</td>
<td>61.4%</td>
<td>61.1%</td>
<td>67.2%</td>
<td>70.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.7 Percentage of winter event responses that meet or exceed municipal road maintenance standards</td>
<td>96.0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Transportation - Transit** |            |            |            |            |            |
| 6.1a Operating costs for conventional transit per regular service passenger trip | $3.76      | $3.56      | $3.63      | $5.87      | $3.14      |
| Total costs for conventional transit per regular service passenger trip | $4.22      | $4.00      | $4.08      | $6.27      |            |
| 6.2 Number of conventional transit passenger trips per person in the service area | 45.13      | 45.59      | 44.41      | 43.48      | 44.85      |
| Number of persons in the service area | 483,000    | 480,000    | 478,000    | 475,000    | 465,000    |
## City of Hamilton

### Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP)

#### 2012 Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wastewater</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.1a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating costs for collection of wastewater per kilometre of wastewater main</td>
<td>$16,405.58</td>
<td>$17,582.42</td>
<td>$20,043.21</td>
<td>$20,658.89</td>
<td>$9,245.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.1b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total costs for collection of wastewater per kilometre of wastewater main</td>
<td>$23,916.78</td>
<td>$24,546.47</td>
<td>$26,592.36</td>
<td>$27,129.43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kilometres of wastewater main</td>
<td>1,763</td>
<td>1,747</td>
<td>1,716</td>
<td>1,707</td>
<td>1,726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.2a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating costs for treatment and disposal of wastewater per megalitre</td>
<td>$167.04</td>
<td>$172.42</td>
<td>$158.73</td>
<td>$137.39</td>
<td>$129.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.2b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total costs for treatment and disposal of wastewater per megalitre</td>
<td>$210.15</td>
<td>$209.48</td>
<td>$196.84</td>
<td>$173.95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Megalitres of wastewater treated</td>
<td>107,156</td>
<td>123,831</td>
<td>113,530</td>
<td>117,780</td>
<td>135,113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.3a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating costs for collection, treatment and disposal of wastewater per megalitre</td>
<td>$436.95</td>
<td>$420.48</td>
<td>$461.68</td>
<td>$436.80</td>
<td>$247.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.3b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total costs for collection, treatment and disposal of wastewater per megalitre</td>
<td>$603.65</td>
<td>$555.78</td>
<td>$598.78</td>
<td>$567.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of wastewater main backups per 100 kilometres of wastewater main in a year</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of wastewater main breaks</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of wastewater estimated to have by-passed treatment</td>
<td>1.00%</td>
<td>5.54%</td>
<td>4.03%</td>
<td>1.77%</td>
<td>2.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Storm Water</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.1a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating costs for urban storm water management per kilometre of drainage system</td>
<td>$4,001.01</td>
<td>$4,756.96</td>
<td>$3,936.19</td>
<td>$1,447.48</td>
<td>$1,319.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.1b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total costs for urban storm water management per kilometre of drainage system</td>
<td>$8,715.40</td>
<td>$9,525.74</td>
<td>$8,537.93</td>
<td>$5,964.69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kilometres of storm water pipe including connections and catch basins</td>
<td>1,332</td>
<td>1,259</td>
<td>1,242</td>
<td>1,219</td>
<td>1,184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.2a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating costs for rural storm water management per kilometre of drainage system</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.2b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total costs for rural storm water management per kilometre of drainage system</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# City of Hamilton Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) 2012 Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Water</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.1a Operating costs for treatment of drinking water per megalitre</td>
<td>$201.28</td>
<td>$190.72</td>
<td>$183.31</td>
<td>$181.92</td>
<td>$182.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.1b Total costs for treatment of drinking water per megalitre</td>
<td>$241.72</td>
<td>$206.71</td>
<td>$185.79</td>
<td>$184.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Megalitres treated</td>
<td>79,793</td>
<td>86,962</td>
<td>89,069</td>
<td>86,756</td>
<td>86,648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.2a Operating costs for distribution of drinking water per kilometre of distribution pipe</td>
<td>$8,952.15</td>
<td>$10,520.25</td>
<td>$8,914.98</td>
<td>$8,425.69</td>
<td>$7,802.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.2b Total costs for distribution of drinking water per kilometre of distribution pipe</td>
<td>$15,915.05</td>
<td>$16,647.55</td>
<td>$14,577.27</td>
<td>$13,739.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kilometres of distribution pipe</td>
<td>2,025</td>
<td>2,016</td>
<td>1,992</td>
<td>1,974</td>
<td>1,966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.3a Operating costs for treatment and distribution of drinking water per megalitre for integrated systems</td>
<td>$428.47</td>
<td>$434.60</td>
<td>$382.70</td>
<td>$373.63</td>
<td>$359.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.3b Total costs for treatment and distribution of drinking water per megalitre for integrated systems</td>
<td>$645.61</td>
<td>$592.64</td>
<td>$511.80</td>
<td>$496.86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.4 Weighted average number of days when a boil-water advisory, issued by the Medical Officer of Health and applicable to a municipal water supply, was in effect</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>Less than 0.01 days</td>
<td>Less than 0.01 days</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011: 37 connections for 3 days over 197,823 connections</td>
<td>Greensville Community 2011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010: 36 connections for 3.2 days over 195,267 connections</td>
<td>Greensville Community 2010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.5 Number of water main breaks per 100 kilometres of water distribution pipe in a year</td>
<td>17.09</td>
<td>17.06</td>
<td>14.26</td>
<td>13.73</td>
<td>12.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of watermain breaks</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>251</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### City of Hamilton

**Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) 2012 Results**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Solid Waste Management</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.1a Operating costs for garbage collection per tonne</td>
<td>$145.19</td>
<td>$166.53</td>
<td>$159.59</td>
<td>$179.60</td>
<td>$161.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total costs for garbage collection per tonne</td>
<td>$166.57</td>
<td>$194.58</td>
<td>$168.55</td>
<td>$187.46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.2a Operating costs for garbage disposal per tonne</td>
<td>$110.80</td>
<td>$161.04</td>
<td>$108.28</td>
<td>$75.24</td>
<td>$84.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total costs for garbage disposal per tonne</td>
<td>$124.39</td>
<td>$175.94</td>
<td>$123.78</td>
<td>$85.26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.3a Operating costs for solid waste diversion (recycling) per tonne</td>
<td>$207.75</td>
<td>$168.76</td>
<td>$151.47</td>
<td>$130.22</td>
<td>$159.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total costs for solid waste diversion (recycling) per tonne</td>
<td>$222.95</td>
<td>$184.32</td>
<td>$166.38</td>
<td>$149.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.4a Average operating costs for solid waste management (collection, disposal and diversion) per tonne</td>
<td>$214.08</td>
<td>$228.69</td>
<td>$188.25</td>
<td>$170.75</td>
<td>$188.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.4b Average total costs for solid waste management (collection, disposal and diversion) per tonne</td>
<td>$236.78</td>
<td>$254.68</td>
<td>$206.80</td>
<td>$187.97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.5 Number of complaints received concerning the collection of solid waste and recycled materials per 1,000 households in a year</td>
<td>80.55</td>
<td>129.92</td>
<td>121.51</td>
<td>123.02</td>
<td>61.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of complaints</td>
<td>17,378</td>
<td>27,576</td>
<td>25,513</td>
<td>25,548</td>
<td>12,536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.6 Number of solid waste management sites owned by the City of Hamilton with a Ministry of Environment Certificate of Approval</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.7 Number of days when a Ministry of Environment compliance order for remediation concerning an air or groundwater standard was in effect</td>
<td>0 days</td>
<td>0 days</td>
<td>0 days</td>
<td>0 days</td>
<td>0 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.8 Percentage of residential solid waste diverted</td>
<td>48.1%</td>
<td>47.7%</td>
<td>47.7%</td>
<td>47.6%</td>
<td>45.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tonnes of residential waste diverted</td>
<td>102,515</td>
<td>101,274</td>
<td>102,499</td>
<td>106,325</td>
<td>103,919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tonnes of residential waste disposed and diverted</td>
<td>213,112</td>
<td>212,151</td>
<td>214,898</td>
<td>223,565</td>
<td>230,638</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## City of Hamilton
### Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP)
#### 2012 Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parks and Recreation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.1a Operating costs for parks per person</td>
<td>$36.31</td>
<td>$40.50</td>
<td>$36.32</td>
<td>$38.00</td>
<td>$36.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.1b Total costs for parks per person</td>
<td>$48.45</td>
<td>$52.14</td>
<td>$47.48</td>
<td>$49.63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.2a Operating costs for recreation programs per person</td>
<td>$45.10</td>
<td>$38.49</td>
<td>$36.73</td>
<td>$34.28</td>
<td>$31.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.2b Total costs for recreation programs per person</td>
<td>$45.10</td>
<td>$38.49</td>
<td>$36.73</td>
<td>$34.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.3a Operating costs for recreation facilities per person</td>
<td>$41.12</td>
<td>$43.06</td>
<td>$54.88</td>
<td>$55.88</td>
<td>$42.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.3b Total costs for recreation facilities per person</td>
<td>$49.81</td>
<td>$50.18</td>
<td>$60.18</td>
<td>$61.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.4a Operating costs for recreation programs and recreation facilities per person</td>
<td>$86.21</td>
<td>$81.56</td>
<td>$91.61</td>
<td>$90.16</td>
<td>$73.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.4b Total costs for recreation programs and recreation facilities per person (Subtotal 11.2a &amp; 11.3a)</td>
<td>$94.90</td>
<td>$88.67</td>
<td>$96.91</td>
<td>$95.73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.4c Operating costs for parks, recreation programs and recreation facilities per person</td>
<td>$122.52</td>
<td>$122.05</td>
<td>$127.93</td>
<td>$128.16</td>
<td>$110.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.4d Total costs for parks, recreation programs and recreation facilities per person</td>
<td>$143.35</td>
<td>$140.81</td>
<td>$144.40</td>
<td>$145.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.5 Total kilometres of trails per 1,000 persons</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.6 Hectares of open space (municipally owned)</td>
<td>2,596</td>
<td>2,599</td>
<td>2,635</td>
<td>2,124</td>
<td>2,124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.7 Total participant hours for recreation programs per 1,000 persons</td>
<td>10,872.14</td>
<td>7,119.94</td>
<td>7,571.25</td>
<td>8,581.52</td>
<td>5,518.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.8 Square metres of indoor recreation facilities</td>
<td>137,673</td>
<td>129,030</td>
<td>147,741</td>
<td>134,136</td>
<td>135,267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.9 Square metres of outdoor recreation facilities</td>
<td>900,954</td>
<td>923,291</td>
<td>865,865</td>
<td>866,655</td>
<td>856,655</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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## City of Hamilton
### Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP)
### 2012 Results

#### Library Services

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operating costs for library services per person</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total costs for library services per person</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$47.34</td>
<td>$46.49</td>
<td>$48.80</td>
<td>$54.20</td>
<td>$57.69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$56.88</td>
<td>$55.69</td>
<td>$57.96</td>
<td>$62.39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating costs for library services per use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total costs for library services per use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1.47</td>
<td>$1.44</td>
<td>$1.73</td>
<td>$2.10</td>
<td>$2.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1.77</td>
<td>$1.73</td>
<td>$2.05</td>
<td>$2.41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library uses per person</td>
<td>32.19</td>
<td>32.18</td>
<td>28.25</td>
<td>25.86</td>
<td>26.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronic library uses as a percentage of total library uses</td>
<td>31.7%</td>
<td>25.7%</td>
<td>24.4%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>25.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-electronic library uses as a percentage of total library uses</td>
<td>68.3%</td>
<td>74.3%</td>
<td>75.6%</td>
<td>75.0%</td>
<td>74.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Land Use Planning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of new residential units located within settlement areas</td>
<td>98.5%</td>
<td>98.2%</td>
<td>98.8%</td>
<td>95.1%</td>
<td>98.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of new residential units within the settlement area</td>
<td>2,085</td>
<td>1,559</td>
<td>2,162</td>
<td>1,285</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of new residential units in the City of Hamilton</td>
<td>2,116</td>
<td>1,588</td>
<td>2,189</td>
<td>1,351</td>
<td>2,035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of land designated for agricultural purposes which was not re-designated for other uses during the reporting year</td>
<td>103.1%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>99.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of land designated for agricultural purposes which was not re-designated for other uses relative to the base year of 2000</td>
<td>95.3%</td>
<td>98.0%</td>
<td>98.0%</td>
<td>98.0%</td>
<td>97.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of hectares of land originally designated for agricultural purposes which was re-designated for other uses during the reporting year</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of hectares of land originally designated for agricultural purposes which was re-designated for other uses since January 1, 2000</td>
<td>1,147</td>
<td>1,147</td>
<td>1,147</td>
<td>1,147</td>
<td>1,169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of hectares of land designated for agricultural purpose at year end</td>
<td>55,310</td>
<td>55,310</td>
<td>55,310</td>
<td>55,310</td>
<td>55,288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of hectares of land in the City of Hamilton</td>
<td>112,775</td>
<td>112,775</td>
<td>112,775</td>
<td>112,775</td>
<td>112,775</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NOTES to the TABLE:

- Some of the MPMP data is not applicable or not available as indicated by “N/A”.
- Some of the comparative MPMP data for other years may have been restated from results reported in previous years.
- Operating costs for municipal services include salaries, wages, benefits, materials, contracted services, rents, financial expenses, external transfers and internal allocations between service areas.
- Total costs for municipal service is new for 2009. Total costs include operating costs, interest on long term debt and amortization of tangible capital assets.
- Operating costs of municipal services for 2009 to 2012 are not comparable to operating costs for prior years as the definition has changed.
- In 2009, municipalities in Ontario and Canada adopted the Canadian Institute of Chartered Accountants (CICA) Public Sector Accounting Board (PSAB) Handbook Sections 1000, 1100, 1200 and 3150. The changes include:
  - Tangible capital assets are reported on the balance sheet or statement of financial position.
  - Amortization expenses of tangible capital assets are reported in total cost of municipal services.
  - Operating costs include expenses on some projects in the capital budget that were not capitalized as tangible capital assets and, therefore, were included in operating costs as a result of the City of Hamilton’s tangible capital asset and finance policies.
  - Operating costs include the change in unfunded liabilities for post employment, retirement and pension benefits that are not funded through the operating budget.
  - Operating costs include the change in unfunded liabilities for costs associated with post closure and closure of waste management landfill sites.