THE GENERAL ISSUES COMMITTEE PRESENTS REPORT 12-007 AND RESPECTFULLY RECOMMENDS

1. Hamilton Police Services Board Monthly Reports (PSB 12-024) (Item 5.2)

That Hamilton Police Services Board Monthly Report PSB 12-006 be received.

2. Barton Village Business Improvement Area (B.I.A.) Revised Board of Management (PED10248(b)) (Wards 2 and 3) (Item 5.3)

That the following individual be appointed to the Barton Village B.I.A. Board of Management:
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3. License Agreement with Bell Mobility Inc. (Licensee) – Waterdown Water Tower, 115 Cole Street, Waterdown (PED12024) (Ward 15) (Item 5.4)

   (a) That a new license agreement with Bell Mobility Inc. over land described as Block 169, Plan 62M-1125, Town of Flamborough, identified as PIN 17511-0343, (Waterdown Water Tower) as shown on Appendix “A” attached to Report PED12024, for a term of five (5) years be approved with the following conditions:

   (i) **Rental Rate:** From the date of commencement, being April 1, 2012, Bell Mobility Inc. shall pay rent annually in advance based on $25,000 per year net, plus H.S.T. Subsequently, annual rent will increase by 2.5% each year throughout the term, plus H.S.T.

   (ii) **Operating Costs:** Tenant will be responsible for paying all operating costs, utilities and property taxes.

   (iii) **Options:** Tenant will have the option to renew another three (3) five (5) year terms.

   (b) That all rent proceeds from the license described in Recommendation (a) be credited to the Flamborough Capital Reserve Account 108032.

   (c) That the Mayor, General Manager of Finance and Corporate Services, and City Clerk be authorized and directed to execute the license agreement in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor.

4. Hamilton Downtown Multi-Residential Property Investment Program – 50 Murray Street West – HDMRPIP 01/12 (PED12027) (Ward 2) (Item 5.5)

   (a) That a conditional loan commitment totalling $1,058,543 for Core Urban Inc., the registered owner of the property at 50 Murray Street West, be authorized and approved in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Hamilton Downtown Multi-Residential Property Investment Program;

   (b) That the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized and directed to execute a loan agreement together with any ancillary documentation required, to effect recommendation (a), above, in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor;
(c) That the General Manager of the Planning and Economic Development Department be authorized to approve and execute any loan amending agreements, together with any ancillary amending documentation, if required, provided that the terms and conditions of the Hamilton Downtown Multi-Residential Property Investment Program, as approved by City Council, are maintained.

5. Hamilton Downtown Property Improvement Grant Program – 50 Murray Street West – HDPIGP 01/12 (PED12031) (Ward 2) (Item 5.6)

(a) That a grant in the estimated amount of $322,273.45 under the Hamilton Downtown Property Improvement Grant Program (HDPIGP) for Core Urban Inc., owner of the property at 50 Murray Street West, be authorized and approved in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Program; and,

(b) That the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized and directed to execute the Grant Agreement attached as Appendix “A” to Report PED12031, in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor.

6. Hamilton LEEDing the Way, LEED Grant Program Application LGP-12-01 – Maple Leaf Foods Inc. 440 Glover Road, Hamilton (PED12038) (Ward 11) (Item 5.7)

(a) That Hamilton LEEDing the Way, LEED Grant Program Application LGP-12-01, submitted by Maple Leaf Foods Inc., for the property forming part of 440 Glover Road, Hamilton, for a LEED Grant not to exceed $2,598,250.00 payable to Canada Bread Company, Limited over a maximum of five (5) years, be authorized and approved in accordance with the terms and conditions of the LEED Grant Program Agreement; and,

(b) That the Mayor and Clerk be authorized and directed to execute, on behalf of the City, the LEED Grant Agreement with Maple Leaf Foods Inc., referred to in subsection (a) with respect to the development of 440 Glover Road, and all necessary associated documents, all in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor.

(c) That staff be requested to report to the appropriate General Issues Committee meeting with regard to participation by new and existing businesses in either LEEDS or LEEDS standards programs in the City of Hamilton and obtain/provide similar information from other municipalities to assist in the development of the report, and that the report include information with respect to the incremental cost of LEED certification (silver, gold, platinum) as it applies to the use of the City program by local stakeholders.
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7. Declaration of Surplus, Sale of Easement and Lease Agreement with Bell Mobility Inc. – 70 Olympic Drive – Dundas Olympic Arena, Dundas (PED12040) (Ward 13) (Item 5.8)

(a) That the subject lands, being Part of Lot 20, Concession 1, West Flamborough, described as Parts 1 to 4 on Survey W4053, forming part of 70 Olympic Drive in Dundas (Dundas Olympic Sports Park), with an area of approximately 789.5 square metres (8,498 square feet) as shown on Appendix “A” attached to Report PED12040, be declared surplus to the requirements of the City of Hamilton in accordance with Procedural By-law 04-299;

(b) That an Offer to Purchase (Easement) by Bell Mobility Inc. scheduled to close on or before April 1, 2012 for the land described in Recommendation (a) be approved and completed at the sale price of $2.00;

(c) That the sale price of $2.00 does not include the Harmonized Sales Tax (HST); should HST be applicable and collected by the City, that the HST amount be credited to Account No. 22828 000100 (HST Payable);

(d) That the sale proceeds be credited to the Dundas Reserve Fund Account 108031;

(e) That the City enters into a lease with Bell Mobility Inc. over the land described in Recommendation (a) upon the following conditions:

(i) **Term:** Five (5) Years commencing April 1, 2012 to March 31, 2017 followed by three (3), five (5) year renewal options;

(ii) **Rental Rate:** From the date of commencement, being April 1, 2012, Bell Mobility Inc. shall pay rent based on $25,000.00 per year net, plus H.S.T. throughout the first year of the term and increase by 2.5% per year thereafter;

(iii) **Operating Costs:** The tenant will be responsible for paying all operating costs and property taxes, in addition to the rent;

(f) That all rent proceeds from the lease described in Recommendation (e) be credited to the Dundas Reserve Fund Account 108031;

(g) That any disbursements, legal fees and expenditures incurred by Legal Services be charged to the Dundas Reserve Fund Account 108031;

(h) That the Mayor and Municipal Clerk be authorized and directed to execute and issue a Certificate of Compliance in the form prescribed pursuant to Section 268 of the Municipal Act, incorporating the following:
(i) That as required by Section 3 (a) of By-Law 04-299, the subject lands be declared surplus by inclusion in Report PED12040 to City Council;

(ii) That, in accordance with the approved method of providing notice in the City of Hamilton By-Law No. 04-299 “Procedural By-Law for the Sale of Land”, Section 12 (a) (6), notice to the public of the proposed sale of land is given by inclusion of this recommendation to City Council, and;

(i) That the Mayor, General Manager of Finance and Corporate Services, and City Clerk be authorized and directed to execute the easement and lease agreements in a form satisfactory to City Solicitor.

8. Infrastructure Ontario Surplus Land – Project 8663 – Located at 425 Winona Road, described as Part 1 of Plan 62R-15589, Former Township of Saltfleet, now in the City of Hamilton (PED12041) (Ward 11) (Item 5.9)

(a) That the Real Estate Section of the Economic Development of the Planning and Economic Development Department be authorized and directed to advise Infrastructure Ontario that the City of Hamilton has no interest in acquiring their land located at 425 Winona Road, described as Part 1, Plan 62R-15589, in the former Township of Saltfleet, now City of Hamilton, as shown on Appendix “A” attached to Report PED12041.

(b) That the Real Estate Section of the Economic Development Division of the Planning and Economic Development Department be authorized and directed to advise Infrastructure Ontario of the City of Hamilton requirements to the development of the site as contained in the “Relevant Consultation” Section of Report PED12041.1

9. Business Appreciation Program (PED12042) (City Wide) (Item 5.10)

(a) That Report PED12042, respecting the Business Appreciation Program, be received;

(b) That the Proposed Business Appreciation Program, as presented in the “Analysis/Rationale for Recommendation” section of Report PED12042, be approved;

(b) That the Proposed Business Appreciation Program, attached hereto as Appendix “C”, be approved;

(c) That the Economic Development Division be authorized and directed to commence implementation of the Business Appreciation Program;
(d) That the issue of developing a strategy that seeks to address when and how companies can be recognized be referred to the Governance Review Sub-Committee to determine the appropriate placement of such recognition.

10. **Lease – Lister Block, 28 James Street North, Units 155 and 156, Hamilton, to Hamilton, Halton, Brant Regional Tourism Association (HHBRTA) (PW12019/PED12046) (Ward 2) (Item 5.11)**

(a) That a new lease with Hamilton, Halton, Brant Regional Tourist Association (HHBRTA) be approved with the following terms and conditions:

(i) **Term:** Five (5) years commencing April 1, 2012 and terminating on March 31, 2017. Either party can terminate the lease early with six (6) months written notice;

(ii) **Property:** Lister Block, 28 James Street North, Units 155 and 156, Hamilton, comprising a net usable area of 1,115 sf, as shown on Appendix “A” to Report PW12019 / PED12046. The space will be leased on an “as is” basis with finishing subject to heritage restraints/permits where applicable. The gross rentable area has not yet been defined; however, it is expected to range from 1,300 sf to 1,350 sf. Once defined the lease will be amended to reflect a gross lease rate of $20.00 per sf. The space comprises a 595 sf and a 520 sf unit located on the inner arcade. Neither unit has street frontage;

(iii) **Rental Rate:** From the date of commencement, being April 1, 2012, the tenant shall pay fixed gross rent of $20.00 per sf plus HST based upon the gross rentable area, still to be determined. The rent will be approximate $27,000.00 per year plus HST;

(iv) **Parking:** Lease provides 1 surface parking space at a cost of $120.00 per month; and,

(v) **Operating Costs:** Operating costs will be included in the gross rent save and except cleaning, garbage, waste collection and disposal, security system, and interior décor and finishing. The tenant agrees to pay any escalations in expenses after the base year of 2012 (pro-rated for time);

(b) That all rent be credited to Account No. 791550-46000; and,

(c) That the Mayor, General Manager of Finance and Corporate Services, and City Clerk be authorized and directed to execute the Lease in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor.
11. Restructured Master Asset Vehicle (MAV) Notes and Asset-Backed Commercial Paper (ABCP) update (FCS11006(a)) (City Wide) (Item 5.12)

That Report FCS11006(a) respecting Restructured Master Asset Vehicle (MAV) Notes and Asset-Backed Commercial Paper (ABCP) update, be received.

12. Granting of the “Freedom of the City” to the 31 Signal Regiment of the City of Hamilton (CL12003) (City Wide) (Item 6.1/8.2)

That the “Freedom of the City” be granted to the 31 Signal Regiment City of Hamilton.

13. 2011 Economic Development Division Report and Highlights (PED12044) (City Wide) (Item 7.1)

(a) That Report PED12044 respecting the 2011 Economic Development Division Report and Highlights, be received;

(b) That staff be directed to report back on assessment values on properties associated with industrial parks in the City and areas associated with the Red Hill Valley Parkway;

(c) That representatives of John C. Munro/Hamilton International Airport be invited to a meeting of the Airport Implementation Committee to provide a presentation respecting the future of the Airport relative to the strategic investments that the City has made in the area surrounding the airport property

(d) That staff provide a report back to the Committee with respect to the commercial property vacancy rate in the City.

14. Implementation of the Hybrid Auditor General Model (AUD11028) (City Wide) (Item 8.1(b))

(a) That Report AUD11028 respecting the implementation of the hybrid Auditor General model be received;

(b) That the by-law appointing the Director of Audit Services as the Auditor General, which has been prepared in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor, be passed;

(c) That the Internal Audit Charter approved by Council on January 26, 2011 be repealed and replaced by the Audit Services Charter attached as Appendix “A” hereto;
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(d) That, pending receipt of the appropriate resources, the Director of Audit Services be directed to carry out a three year pilot project conducting value-for-money audits as approved by Council in the annual work plan, such workplan to be submitted for approval firstly to the Audit Finance and Administration Committee in accordance with the Audit Services Charter; and

(e) That the Director of Audit Services report back through the Audit, Finance and Administration Committee, as follows:

(i) at the conclusion of each value-for-money audit, with the results and recommendations;

(ii) annually, to identify tangible savings/increased revenues, if any realized, as a result of the Implementation of the recommendations made in the year; and

(iii) at the end of the three-year pilot, summarizing the estimated cumulative savings, in addition to highlighting non-financial benefits such as improved internal controls, operational efficiencies and environmental, social or service improvements, as appropriate, resulting from the value-for-money audit program.

15. Auditor General Model (CM11006) (City Wide) (Item 8.1(a))

(a) That Report CM11006 respecting Auditor General Model be received;

(b) That the establishment of a separate Auditor General Office not be pursued;

(c) That Audit Services staff be increased by 2 contract FTE’s, to be dedicated to undertaking value for money audits for the term of the pilot project, and that these contract FTE’s be funded from the Tax Stabilization Reserve for a period of three years.

16. ACPD (Audible Signals (PW08077(a)) (City Wide) (Item 8.3)

(a) That, in accordance with Purchasing Policy #14 - Policy for Standardization, the Polara Navigator or similar replacement model from Polara Inc., be designated as the sole source corporate standard for accessible pedestrian signal units for the City of Hamilton, through to the end of 2016;
(b) That the General Manager of Public Works or his/her Authorized Designate be authorized and directed to negotiate procurement of necessary hardware and execute all formal documents as per (a) above in a form acceptable to the City Solicitor;

(c) That $150,000 be utilized annually to equip new and reconstructed traffic signals with Accessible Pedestrian Signal systems, funded through the capital cost of the individual projects;

17. **Report 12-002 of the Advisory Committee for Persons with Disabilities – February 14, 2012 (Item 8.5)**

**Hamilton Police Service - Mental Illness/Disability**

That the Hamilton Police Service along with Crisis Outreach and Support Team (COAST) provide an update to the Advisory Committee for Persons with Disabilities respecting Police interactions with those who have a mental disability or illness.

18. **PFOS at John C. Munro/Hamilton International Airport (Item 9.1)**

(a) That staff be directed to facilitate a Public Open House in June, 2012 in conjunction with representatives of the consultant team from EXP and TradePort respecting On-site PFOS at the John C. Munro/Hamilton International Airport;

(b) That staff from the Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority, the Ministry of the Environment and the Department of Oceans and Fisheries be invited to attend the Public Open House in June, 2012; and,

(c) That staff be directed to bring forward a status report regarding the item on the General Issues Committee’s Outstanding Business List respecting On-site PFOS at the John C. Munro Hamilton International Airport, on or before the August 13, 2012 General Issues Committee meeting.

19. **Property Assessed Payments for Energy Retrofits (PAPER) (Item 9.2)**

That the City of Hamilton requests the Province of Ontario and the Federal Government of Canada to:

(a) Implement regulatory change for Local Improvement Charges (LICs) to enable clear authority for municipalities to use LICs for energy improvements on private property, while ensuring that financing stays with the property and continues to have priority lien status;
(b) Define/recognize energy improvements as a public benefit;

(c) Amend the cost allocation method for LIC’s to accommodate retrofit costs on specific private property;

(d) Ensure the LIC process is simplified and voluntary;

(e) Support a collaboration to develop “Property Assessed Payments for Energy Retrofits (PAPER)” programs, including providing appropriate technical assistance to enable optimal energy savings for every dollar spent;

(f) Provide support via low-interest financial loan guarantees/loan loss reserves and for addressing the scalability of energy saving guarantees for the residential sector;

(g) Support the development of a blended product like Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation’s (CMHC’s) Mortgage Loan Insurance for Property Assessed Payments for Energy Retrofits (PAPER) to decrease default risk;

(h) Provide incentives like energy efficiency income tax credits;

(i) Maintain O. Reg 403/02 (Debt and Financial Obligation Limits); and

(j) Allow municipalities to fully recover the administrative costs associated with implementing such a program, such that the program is provided at no cost to the municipality.

20. Establishment of a Greater Bay Area Sub-Committee (Item 9.3)

(a) That a Greater Bay Area Committee be established jointly by the City of Hamilton and City of Burlington;

(b) That the Committee mandate and agenda allow for discussion of any subject in the greater bay area of mutual interest and concern;

(c) That the Committee be composed of equal representation from the Cities of Hamilton and Burlington, specifically:
   - 2 members each from Hamilton and Burlington City Councils, representing Wards bordering on Hamilton Harbour/Lake Ontario
   - Mayors of both Hamilton and Burlington

(d) That two Co-Chairs, one from each community, be selected. The Co-Chairs shall alternate the chairing of meetings at agreed upon locations.
(e) That the City Managers (or designate) and appropriate staff of Hamilton and Burlington attend the meetings to facilitate the work of the Sub-Committee;

(e) That meetings be scheduled at the call of the Co-Chairs;

(g) That members of the Committee be responsible to report back to their respective Councils through the appropriate Standing Committee;

(h) That staff of the respective Clerk’s Office alternate the responsibilities of preparing agendas and minutes for said meetings.

21. Request for Removal of Reduced Load Restrictions on Nebo and Twenty and Roads (Item 10.1)

That the Reduced Load restrictions currently on Nebo Road (from Rymal Road to Twenty Road) and Twenty Road (from Nebo Road to Glover Road) be removed pending the reconstruction of those two roads in the Red Hill Business Park to urban standards.

22. 2008 CUPE 5167 Collective Bargaining (City Wide) (LS12006)

(a) That Report LS12006 respecting 2008 CUPE 5167 Collective Bargaining be received;

(b) That Report LS12006 remain confidential.

23. City of Hamilton v Metcalfe & Mansfield (Deutsche Bank) (Item 12.4)

(a) That Report LS12007 respecting City of Hamilton v. Metcalfe & Mansfield be received;

(b) That staff report back to the General Issues Committee on March 22, 2012 with respect to the direction provided during the In Camera discussion;

(c) That the contents of Report LS12007 remain confidential.
FOR THE INFORMATION OF COUNCIL:

(a) CHANGES TO THE AGENDA (Item 1)

The Committee Clerk advised of the following changes to the agenda:

PUBLIC HEARINGS/DELEGATIONS

(i) Granting of the “Freedom of the City” to the 31 Signal Regiment of the City of Hamilton (CL12003) (City Wide) (Formerly Item 8.2)

NOTICES OF MOTION

(ii) Revised Motion – Establishment of a Greater Bay Area Sub-Committee (Item 9.3)

DISCUSSION REPORT

(iii) Item 1 of Report 12-001 of the Accountability and Transparency Sub-Committee – January 23, 2012 – TABLE to the April 18, 2012 meeting of the General Issues Committee to allow the opportunity to provide Notice to Citizens (Item 8.4)

ADDED NOTICES OF MOTION

(iv) Request for Removal of Reduced Load Restrictions on Nebo, Twenty and Glover Roads (Added Item 10.1)

(v) 21 Weirs Lane, Dundas – Connection to Municipal Water System (Added Item 10.2)

ADDED PRIVATE & CONFIDENTIAL

(vi) City of Hamilton v Metcalfe & Mansfield (Added Item 12.4) (No copy)

The following issue will be handled in a different manner:

(vii) Update respecting an identifiable individual (Added Item 12.5) (No copy)

The following item is withdrawn at this time and will be placed on a future General Issues Committee agenda with additional information provided by staff:

(viii) Update respecting Security of the Property of the Municipality (Added Item 12.6)
Councillor S. Merulla requested the following item be added to the agenda:

(ix) Statements contained in Editorial by Andrew Dreschel in the March 21, 2012 Spectator entitled, “Bratina bristles with politicall paranoia” and specifically, the Mayor’s request for affidavits from staff.

Chair Clark suggested that this matter be dealt with by the Committee under “Other Business” on the agenda.

On a motion, the agenda was approved, as amended. CARRIED

(b) DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST (Item 2)

None

(c) APPROVAL OF PREVIOUS MINUTES (Item 3)

On a motion, the Minutes of the February 15 (regular) and February 21 and 27 (special) meetings of the General Issues Committee were approved as presented.

(d) CONSENT AGENDA

(i) Hamilton LEEDing the Way, LEED Grant Program Application LGP-12-01 – Maple Leaf Foods Inc. 440 Glover Road, Hamilton (PED12038) (Ward 11) (Item 5.7)

On a motion, the following was added as sub-section (c):

(c) That staff be requested to report to the appropriate General Issues Committee meeting with regard to participation by new and existing businesses in either LEEDS or LEEDS standards programs in the City of Hamilton and obtain/provide similar information from other municipalities to assist in the development of the report, and that the report include information with respect to the incremental cost of LEED certification (silver, gold, platinum) as it applies to the use of the City program by local stakeholders.

The Amendment Carried and the Main Motion, as amended, Carried.

(ii) Business Appreciation Program (PED12042) (City Wide) (Item 5.10)

On a motion, the following was added as sub-section (d):
(d) That the issue of developing a strategy that seeks to address when and how companies can be recognized be referred to the Governance Review Sub-Committee to determine the appropriate placement of such recognition.

The Amendment Carried and the Main Motion, as amended, Carried.

(ii) **Media Relations Policy Update (CM12004) (City Wide) (Item 5.13)**

On a motion, the “Scope” section of Appendix “A” to Report CM12004 respecting Communications Policy – Media Relations was amended to include “their staff”, to read as follows:

**SCOPE:**
This Policy applies to all staff and divisions of the City of Hamilton. This Policy does not apply to members of City Council, their staff, or to the City’s agencies, boards or commissions.

The Amendment Carried.

On a motion, Report CM12004 respecting Media Relations Policy Update was tabled and staff was directed to provide an additional report, together with the 2005 policy, indicating the changes from the 2005 policy to the proposed 2012 policy.

(e) **PUBLIC HEARINGS/DELEGATIONS (Item 6)**

(i) **Granting of the “Freedom of the City” to the 31 Signal Regiment of the City of Hamilton (CL12003) (City Wide) (Item 6.1/8.2)**

Mary Gallagher, Deputy Clerk, invited Major Frederico, Commanding Officer of 31 Signal Regiment, Captain White and Geordie Elms to the podium. Provided background information on the Freedom of the City

Major Frederico noted that 31 Signal Regiment will be celebrating its 100th anniversary of army signalers in Hamilton; and the request to exercise the Freedom of the City would be a fitting tribute.

Geordie Elms noted that the granting of the Freedom of the City is a relatively normal event in the history of any regiment in its home City; hope to establish a template for dealing with similar requests going forward.

On a motion, the presentation from Mary Gallagher, Deputy Clerk, and Major Frederico, Commanding Officer of the 31 Signal Regiment, and Geordie Elms, Retired Colonel, respecting the Granting of the Freedom of the City, was received.
The Motion **CARRIED** on the following Standing Recorded Vote:

Yeas: Bratina, McHattie, Farr, Morelli, Merulla, Collins, Jackson, Duvall, Whitehead, Partridge, Pasuta, Powers, Pearson, Clark

Total Yeas: 14

Nays: 0

Absent: Ferguson, Johnson

Total Absent: 2

**(f) PRESENTATION**

(i) **2011 Economic Development Division Report and Highlights (PED12044) (City Wide) (Item 7.1)**

Neil Everson provided a power point presentation with respect to 2011 – The Year In Review/2011 Economic Development City-Wide Highlights, which included the following:

- Bayfront Industrial District and Bayfront Development Highlights
- Downtown Investments and Downtown Development Highlights
- Ancaster Business Park and Development Highlights at the Ancaster Industrial Business Park
- Redhill Business Park and Red Hill Development Highlights
- Stoney Creek Business Park and Development Highlights
- Small Business Enterprise Centre – 2011 Record Year and Highlights
- 2012 – The Year Ahead/2012 Economic Development Pipeline
- Non-residential Pipeline for 2012; 2012 Pipeline of Downtown Developments
- 2011 by the Numbers, including Diversity Index, Total Building Permits, Economic Growth, Non-residential Development; 2011 Residential vs Non-residential Growth; Industrial Vacancy Rate; Q4 – 2011 Industrial Vacancy Rates; Industrial Absorption; Industrial Statistics; Downtown Vacancy Rate; Employed Population FT/PT; Employed Population – FT vs PT; Unemployment Rate for 2011
- Highlights of Economic Development Goals/Real Estate Services
- Business Retention and Expansion
- Hamilton Calling Program Highlights
- 2010-2015 Economic Development Strategy Update; Strategy Deliverables
- Changing Hamilton's Image
- Awards and Recognitions

On a motion, the following was added as sub-section (b):

(b) That staff be directed to report back on assessment values on properties associated with industrial parks in the City and areas associated with the Red Hill Valley Parkway.
The Amendment Carried.

On a motion, the following as added was sub-section (c):

(c) That representatives of John C. Munro/Hamilton International Airport be invited to a meeting of the Airport Implementation Committee to provide a presentation respecting the future of the Airport relative to the strategic investments that the City has made in the area surrounding the airport property.

The Amendment Carried.

On a motion, the following was added as sub-section (d):

(d) That staff provide a report back to the Committee with respect to the commercial property vacancy rate in the City.

The Amendment Carried,
The Main Motion, as amended, Carried.

A copy of the power point presentation has been retained in the Clerk’s Office for the public record and is available for viewing on the City’s website. The Committee was distributed with the most recent edition of “Hamilton Economic Development 2011 Economic Review”.

(ii) City Manager’s Annual Update (2011) (Item 7.2)

Chris Murray provided a power point presentation with respect to the City Manager Performance Appraisal and spoke to the following issues:

- Overview
- Priorities: Strategic Priority #1 – A prosperous and healthy community; Strategic Priority #2 – Valued and sustainable services; Strategic Priority #3 – Leadership and Governance
- Operating Budget Principle
- Relationship between Community, City Council and Civic Administration
- 2011 Strategic Direction
  o developed SMT work plan
  o provided 2008-2011 Annual Strategic Update
  o initiated development of the 2012-2015 Strategic Plan
  o Supported and provided guidance on key initiatives, including: area rating resolution; settlement of CUPE 5167 labour negotiations; Pan Am Stadium; Downtown McMaster Health Campus; Overall Transportation Strategy (LRT, BRT, GO); HECFI RFP process; Auditor General model
• 2011 Financial Outcomes
  o Supported and provided guidance on key initiatives, including:
    lowest tax increase in 10 years
  o Initiated Council workshops to discuss budget process
    improvements
  o Council-approved Service Delivery Report
  o Co-leader of City Manager Association’s OMBI (Ontario Municipal
    Benchmarking Initiative)
• 2011 Staff Focus
  o City Manager Awards of Excellence
  o Corporate-wide Staff Recognition Program
  o Supported Human Resources in the development of vacancy
    management protocol; organization restructuring policy;
    PeopleSoft Position Management System
• 2011 Citizen Focus
• Conditions for Success
• City’s Vision
• How do we deliver on our vision?

On a motion, the presentation respecting the City Manager’s Annual
Update was received.

(g) DISCUSSION AGENDA

(i) Report 12-001 of the Accountability and Transparency Sub-Committee
    – January 23, 2012 (Item 8.4)

(aa) Revision of Subsection 15.1 of the Council Code of Conduct
    and Comparison of Mississauga Judicial Inquiry Council
    Code of Conduct Recommendations to the Council Code of
    Conduct (City Wide) (LS12002)

    On a motion, Item 1 of Report 12-001 of the Accountability and
    Transparency Sub-Committee was tabled to the April 18, 2012
    meeting of the General Issues Committee to provide the
    opportunity for public notice. CARRIED

(ii) Auditor General Model (CM11006) (City Wide) (Item 8.1(a))

    Sub-section (c) CARRIED on the following recorded vote:
    Yeas: Pearson, Powers, Pasuta, Partridge, Bratina, Clark, Whitehead,
          Duvall, Jackson, Collins, Merulla, Farr, McHattie
    Total Yeas: 13
    Nays: Johnson
    Total Nays: 1
    Absent: Ferguson, Morelli
    Total Absent: 2
(iii) **ACP D (Audible Signals (PW08077(a)) (City Wide) (Item 8.3)**

On a motion, the item entitled “ACP D Audible Signals” was identified as completed and removed from the Outstanding Business List.

(h) **NEW BUSINESS**

Councillor Merulla spoke to the editorial which was published in the Spectator entitled, “Bratina bristles with political paranoia” in defense of the City’s senior management team and the Mayor’s comments that he will be demanding an investigation with complete signed affidavits.

Chris Murray provided the contents of discussions that took place with the Mayor, Rob Rossini and Peggy Chapman.

Councillor Merulla requested a copy of the e-mail which was sent to Spectator managers, as referred to in the editorial.

The Committee requested further discussion on this matter take place during the In Camera session in order to have staff respond to questions raised with respect to payout of vacation.

On a motion, the information provided by staff with respect to the circumstances of the March 21, 2012 Spectator editorial by Andrew Dreschel, was received.

(i) **MOTIONS**

(i) **PFOS at John C. Munro/Hamilton International Airport (Item 9.1)**

On a motion, sub-section (b) was amended with the inclusion of the Department of Oceans and Fisheries.

The Amendment Carried and the Main Motion, as amended, Carried.

(ii) **Property Assessed Payments for Energy Retrofits (Item 9.2)**

The following is the preamble to the motion introduce by Councillor McHattie:

Whereas the City of Hamilton recognizes the importance of energy conservation and its significant benefits to the community, environment and economy;

And whereas the David Suzuki Foundation has written three reports on utilizing Local Improvement Charges (LICs) to provide residential homeowners with secure, low-interest financing for home energy retrofits, known as “Property Assessed Payments for Energy Retrofits (PAPER)”;
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And whereas amendments to the current LIC legislation (O. Reg 586/06 “Local Improvement Charges – Priority Lien Status”) would be required in order for this initiative to work;

And whereas the Association of Municipalities of Ontario (AMO) is in support of this initiative (attached hereto as Appendix “B”);

And whereas the Council of the City of Windsor has passed a similar motion requesting that senior levels of government make the necessary legislative changes.

On a motion, sub-section (j) was amended by deleting the words, “of providing this program”, and replacing it with the words, “associated with implementing such a program” in lieu thereof.

The Amendment Carried and the Main Motion, as amended, Carried.

See Item 19 for the motion.

(iii) Establishment of a Greater Bay Area Sub-Committee

The following is the preamble for the motion introduced by Councillor Partridge:

Whereas, the City of Hamilton and the City of Burlington share a border and many common issues;

And Whereas, it is desirable to attain a shared vision on priority areas such as the environment, transportation corridors, Burlington Bay, economic development, etc.

See Item 20 for the motion.

(j) NOTICES OF MOTION (Item 10)

Councillor B. Johnson introduced the following Notice of Motion:

(i) Request for Removal of Reduced Load Restrictions on Nebo, Twenty and Glover Roads

Whereas there are reduced load restrictions currently in effect on Nebo Road, Twenty Road and Glover Roads (former Town of Glanbrook) in the Red Hill Business Park from March 1 to April 30 of every year;

And Whereas Twenty Road and portions of Glover Road and Nebo Road will be reconstructed commencing the spring of 2012 with completion estimated for the fall of 2013;
And Whereas upon reconstruction of these three roads in the Red Hill Business Park to urban standards, there will no longer be a requirement for seasonal reduced load restrictions;

And Whereas these reduced load restrictions are impacting the delivery of supplies and finished products from the Canada Bread facility;

And Whereas these reduced load restrictions are impacting the accessibility of construction equipment to the Glover Road site for the development of the new Maple Leaf Foods facility.

Now Therefore Be it Resolved:

That the Reduced Load restrictions currently on Nebo Road (from Rymal Road to Twenty Road); Twenty Road (from Nebo Road to Glover Road); and Glover Road (from Rymal Road to the residential enclave south of Maple Leaf Foods) be removed pending the reconstruction of these three roads in the Red Hill Business Park to urban standards.

Councillor Johnson amended her Notice of Motion to take out reference to Glover Road, as noted above.

On a motion, the rules of order were waived in order to allow for the introduction of a motion respecting Request for Removal of Reduced Load Restrictions on Nebo and Twenty Roads.

See Item 21 for the disposition of this item.

Councillor R. Powers introduced the following Notice of Motion:

(ii) 21 Weirs Lane, Dundas – Connection to Municipal Water System (Item 10.2)

That for health and safety reasons, the property owners of 21 Weirs Lane, Dundas be permitted to connect their single family residence to the municipal water system, located approximately 132 metres away, in a manner acceptable to the City of Hamilton.

(k) OTHER BUSINESS/GENERAL INFORMATION

(i) Outstanding Business List (Item 11.1)

On a motion, the following revised due dates were approved:

Item T: St. Mark’s Church and Auchmar Estates
Due Date: February 15, 2012
Revised Due Date: St. Mark’s Church – April 18, 2012
Auchmar Estates – June 20, 2012

Council – March 28, 2012
On a motion, the Minutes of the Closed Session Meetings of the General Issues Committee held on February 21, 27 and March 6, 2012 be approved. These Minutes will remain confidential and restricted from public disclosure in accordance with the exemptions provided in the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act.

On a motion, the Committee move into closed Session at 3:10 p.m. pursuant to sub-sections 8.1(b), (d), (e) and (f) of the City’s Procedural By-law and Sections 239.2 (b), (d), (e) and (f) of the Municipal Act as the subject matters pertain to:

(b) personal matters about an identifiable individual, including municipal or local board employees and labour relations or employees

d) labour relations or employee negotiations

e) litigation or potential litigation, including matters before administrative tribunals, affecting the City

(f) advice that is subject to solicitor-client privilege, including communications necessary for that purpose

respecting:


12.3 City Manager Performance Review

12.4 City of Hamilton v Metcalfe & Mansfield

12.7 Personal Matter about an Identifiable Individual.

The Committee reconvened in Open Session.

12.2 2008 CUPE 5167 Collective Bargaining

Pursuant to sub-section 8.1(d) of the City’s Procedural By-law and Section 239.2(d) of the Municipal Act as the subject matter pertains to labour relations or employee negotiations.

See Item 22 for the disposition of this item.
12.3 City Manager Performance Review
Pursuant to sub-section 8.1(b) of the City’s Procedural By-law and Section 239.2(b) of the Municipal Act as the subject matter pertains to personal matters about an identifiable individual, including municipal or local board employees.

During the In Camera session, the Committee agreed to defer this item to a future General Issues Committee meeting.

12.4 City of Hamilton v Metcalfe & Mansfield
Pursuant to sub-sections 8.1(e) and (f) of the City’s Procedural By-law and Sections 239.2(e) and (f) of the Municipal Act as the subject matter pertains to (e) litigation or potential litigation, including matters before administrative tribunals affecting the municipality or local board; and (f) advice that is subject to solicitor-client privilege, including communications necessary for that purpose.

See Item 23 for the disposition of this item.

12.7 Update respecting an identifiable individual (Added)
Pursuant to sub-section 8.1(b) of the City’s Procedural By-law and Section 239.2(b) of the Municipal Act as the subject matter pertains to personal matters about an identifiable individual, including municipal or local board employees.

During the In Camera session, Councillor Duvall indicated that he had received a response from staff to his inquiry and therefore, further discussion was not required.

There being no further business, the Committee adjourned at 4:30 p.m.

Respectfully submitted

Councillor B. Clark
Deputy Mayor

Carolyn Biggs, Co-ordinator
Committee Services/Council/Budgets
March 21, 2012
CITY OF HAMILTON
AUDIT SERVICES CHARTER

INTRODUCTION
Audit Services provides independent, objective assurance and consulting services designed to add value and improve the City of Hamilton’s operations. Audit Services brings a systematic, disciplined approach to evaluating and improving the effectiveness of risk management, control and governance processes.

In the capacity of Auditor General, the Director of Audit Services assists City Council in holding itself and its administrators accountable for the quality of stewardship over public funds and for the achievement of value-for-money in City operations.

SCOPE
The scope of Audit Services encompasses the examination and evaluation of the adequacy and effectiveness of the City’s governance, risk management process, system of internal control structure and the quality of performance in carrying out assigned responsibilities to achieve the organization’s goals and objectives. This includes conducting value-for-money audits. The City’s processes should function in a manner to help ensure:

- Risks are appropriately identified and managed.
- Significant financial, managerial and operating information is accurate, reliable and timely.
- Actions are in compliance with policies, standards, procedures and applicable laws and regulations.
- Resources are acquired economically, used efficiently and are adequately protected.
- Programs, plans and objectives are achieved.
- Significant legislative and regulatory issues impacting the City are recognized and addressed properly.
- Quality and continuous improvement are fostered in the organization’s control processes.

The Director of Audit Services has been appointed by by-law as an Auditor General under Section 223.19 of the Municipal Act, 2001, with the responsibilities, including the powers, duties and protections, under Sections 223.19 to 223.23 of the Municipal Act, 2001. These responsibilities apply to the extent authorized by Sections 223.19 to 223.23 of the Municipal Act, 2001.

CONSULTING
In addition to audit engagements, staff of Audit Services may provide advisory or other consulting services, as appropriate, or at the request of Council or senior management. These types of services may include:

- Conducting special projects, reviews or investigations;
- Performing research;
- Providing training on audit related topics such as risk assessment and internal controls; or
- Providing counsel or advice (e.g. on the adequacy of draft procedures).
AUDIT SERVICES CHARTER

AUTHORITY
Audit Services is granted full, free and unrestricted access to any and all records, property and personnel relevant to any function under review. Access to personal information is provided for under the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act (in particular, Subsections 31(c) and 32(d)).

Audit Services has the authority to conduct audits and reviews of all City departments, Members of Council, agencies, boards and commissions, as well as other entities the City is related to or has an interest in.

All employees shall assist Audit Services in fulfilling its objectives.

Audit Services, through the appointment by by-law of the Director of Audit Services as an Auditor General, has the responsibilities, including the powers, duties and protections, under Sections 223.19 to 223.23 of the Municipal Act, 2001 for:

- City Departments;
- Members of Council;
- Local boards (not including the Board of Health, the Hamilton Public Library Board, the Police Services Board or other local boards in accordance with the definition of “local board” under Section 223.1 of the Municipal Act, 2001);
- Municipally-controlled corporations (a corporation that has 50 per cent or more of its issued and outstanding shares vested in the City or that has the appointment of a majority of its board of directors made or approved by the City, no including a corporation established in accordance with Section 203 of the Municipal Act, 2001); and
- Grant recipients.

These responsibilities under Section 223.19 to 223.23 of the Municipal Act, 2001 include the powers to access information and to examine persons under Section 33 of the Public Inquiries Act, 2009; the duty to preserve secrecy with respect to all matters that come to its knowledge in the course of performing its functions; and the protection of not being a competent or compellable witness in a civil proceeding.

INDEPENDENCE
Independence is an essential component to building public trust and preserving objectivity and integrity associated with the audit function.

To provide for the independence of Audit Services, its personnel report to the Director of Audit Services, who reports administratively to the City Manager and functionally to the Audit, Finance and Administration Committee of Council. Audit and review reports are sent directly to the Audit, Finance and Administration Committee for discussion and approval and then to Council. These reporting relationships help ensure independence, promote comprehensive audit objectivity and coverage and assure adequate consideration of audit recommendations.

All Audit Services activities shall remain free of influence by any element in the organization, including matters of audit selection, scope, procedures, frequency, timing or report content to permit maintenance of an independent and objective attitude necessary in rendering reports.

Audit Services shall have no direct operational responsibility or authority over any of the activities it reviews. Accordingly, it shall not develop nor install systems or procedures, prepare records or engage in any other activity which would normally be audited.
RESPONSIBILITIES
The Director of Audit Services and the staff of the Audit Services division have the responsibility to:

- Review operations within the City at appropriate intervals to determine whether planning, organizing, directing and controlling are in accordance with management instructions, policies and procedures and in a manner that is consistent with both City objectives and high standards of administrative practice.
- Determine the adequacy and effectiveness of the systems of internal accounting, financial and operating controls.
- Review the reliability, utility and integrity of financial information and the means used to identify, measure, classify and report such information.
- Review the established systems to ensure compliance with those policies, plans, procedures, laws and regulations which would have a significant impact on operations and reports and determine whether the organization is in compliance.
- Review the means of safeguarding assets and, as appropriate, verify the existence of such assets.
- Carry out value-for-money (VFM) / performance audits to determine the efficiency and effectiveness of services and evaluate attainment of corporate objectives and value to citizens.
- Report to those members of management who should be informed or who should take corrective action, the results of audit examinations, the audit opinions formed, and the recommendations made.
- Evaluate any plans or actions taken to correct reported conditions and provide timely follow-up to ensure satisfactory disposition of audit findings in the manner and timeframe committed to by management in the original audit report. If the corrective action is considered unsatisfactory, hold further discussions to achieve acceptable disposition.
- Develop a flexible annual audit plan, including any risks or control concerns identified by management or other audits as well as appropriate special tasks or projects requested by management.
- Undertake investigations or refer issues to other appropriate parties as a result of disclosures under the Whistleblower By-law.
- Maintain a professional audit staff with sufficient knowledge, skills and experience.

AUDIT PLANNING
Each year, the Director of Audit Services shall prepare a work plan, setting out the proposed schedule of audits and other undertakings proposed for the coming year. For the compliance / control audit plan, the following sources are considered:

- Prioritization of the audit universe using a risk-based methodology;
- Requests from Members of Council, senior management and staff;
- Any audits planned for the past year but delayed or not completed; and
- Any conditions or concerns discovered or communicated throughout the past year.
Similarly, for planning the value-for-money audit candidates, the most recent risk assessment of services provided to citizens and areas likely to provide significant payback in terms of increased revenues, reduced costs, operations efficiencies and quality of services will be considered.

The annual work plan (divided into the two sections) will be presented to the Audit, Finance and Administration Committee for approval. Any changes to the work plan requested by Council or individual Members of Council will require a majority of at least two-thirds the total members of Council present and not prohibited by statute from voting for the Director of Audit Services to consider.

REPORTING
A written report is prepared and issued by the Director of Audit Services following the conclusion of each audit. The report will include management’s responses and the corrective action plans for specific findings and recommendations. Management’s response will include a statement of general agreement or disagreement with the stated findings and recommendations as well as a timeframe for anticipated completion of action to be taken and an explanation for any recommendation not addressed.

Audit Services is responsible for appropriate follow up on audit findings and recommendations. All significant findings will remain open until the Director of Audit Services has determined management has appropriately taken action to resolve the finding.

All reports (whether compliance, control or VFM audits) are presented to the Audit, Finance and Administration Committee.
January 24, 2012

Hon. Kathleen Wynne
Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing
College Park
777 Bay Street - 17th Floor
Toronto ON M5G 2E5

Dear Minister Wynne:

AMO understands that Ministry staff have reviewed the possibility of municipalities utilizing Local Improvement Charges (LICs) to provide residential homeowners with secure, low-interest financing for home energy retrofits, repaid through a temporary surcharge on the owner’s property tax bill. The association requests that you direct staff to develop a regulatory remedy to provide clear authority for willing municipalities to use LICs to finance energy improvement retrofits on private property, amend the cost allocation method to accommodate retrofit costs with associated fees and pro-rated administrative expenses, recognize the public benefit of the private energy improvements and enable municipalities to have the authority to sell the LIC financing to banks.

AMO and its members strongly support programs and policies that enable conservation and demand management, including the 13 Terawatts of consumption savings by 2015 as laid out in the Long Term Energy Plan. The energy we stop wasting is the cheapest and most readily available energy source there is. Conservation helps avoid the construction of new, expensive and often unpopular energy supply projects and has many other system benefits. Conservation also helps save customers money even as the rate or unit price of energy climbs—something that will help mitigate impacts once the Clean Energy Benefit expires in 2015. Perhaps most importantly in this current economy, conservation creates lots of local jobs—which will be crucial to extending Ontario’s lead when it comes to clean energy, sustainable growth and job creation. That is why AMO supports using Property Assessed Payments for Energy Retrofits (PAPER). This is a concept derived from the Local Improvement Charges mechanism under the Ontario Municipal Act, 2001 in three reports written for the David Suzuki Foundation (in a project funded by the Ontario Trillium Foundation which looked at energy retrofit barriers and solutions).
This financing concept (under other names) is available in Yukon Territory and in Halifax Regional Municipality and is being explored by Alberta and British Columbia. A slightly similar mechanism is being implemented in the City of Vancouver. PAPER would be delivered at no cost to those municipalities interested in offering it since it would be financed by property owners who wish to participate in such a program and would benefit from collaborations among municipalities and with higher level governments and industry. That would reduce overall program and project costs while providing benefits to all stakeholders. The financing would be associated with the property, not the property owner and on sale any remaining amount would be repaid by the new owner who would also benefit from the installed measures. This is an innovation which can fuel local job creation, the leveraging of the best possible financial rates for both a large number of projects and the homeowner, the long-term repayment schedules for cost effective measures installed with economies of scale to make energy savings viable, and the piggybacking of existing programs offered by the Ontario Power Authority (OPA) and other agencies. Twenty-six U.S. states passed enabling legislation and many other regions commenced pilots; in the U.S. endorsers include the Royal Bank of Canada International Council for Local Environmental Initiatives (ICLEI) and 12 Ontario municipalities.

Allowing municipalities the option to offer homeowners the financial and program support to engage in cost-effective energy improvements will mitigate the risks of rising and volatile energy costs to those homeowners, while circulating capital to the benefit of financing institutions and creating green jobs which benefit the community. Provincial budgets would benefit from increased income tax revenues plus the reduction of unemployment costs and of provincial and individuals’ health care costs related to non-renewable energy sources. The program would result in reductions in energy use to help the Province and municipalities meet their targets and would reduce needs for costly power generation plants.

Presently, however, we cannot move forward with the PAPER Initiative. We believe the current LIC mechanism (Ontario Regulation 586/06) needs the following amendments to make this proposal work. This would include:

- explicitly noting that municipalities are permitted to use LICs for energy improvements on private property,
- defining reduced energy use and reduced GHG emissions as public benefits,
- amending the allocation criteria to recognize retrofit costs plus associated fees and pro-rated program administrative expenses, as well as
- allowing any PAPER financing balance to remain associated with the property on sale and repaid by the new owner.

The priority lien status applicable only to defaulted payments must clearly remain in place as well as Ontario Regulation 403/02 to ensure the PAPER mechanism is workable and does not adversely affect a municipality’s debt totals. While these changes are being put into place we would also like to request your support in working with:
• Infrastructure Ontario (IO) to help ensure interested municipalities can obtain financing at low rates to initiate a local PAPER program.
• The Energy, Environment and Finance Ministries for:
  o necessary collaboration supports and technical assistance for establishing pilots and potentially a provincial program,
  o loan loss reserves,
  o energy efficiency income tax credits equivalent to the property tax increment from the installed measures.

I would like to thank you once more for the very productive working relationship between your ministry and our Association as we work together to create a culture of conservation and green economy. AMO believes that enabling municipalities to utilize LICs for residential energy efficiency retrofits is one small step towards accomplishing these mutually beneficial policy goals.

Yours sincerely,

Gary McNamara
President

cc: Honourable Christopher Bentley, Minister of Energy
Audrey Bennett, Assistant Deputy Minister (Acting), Local Government and Planning Policy Division, Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing
Michael Ptolemy, Manager, Tax and Revenue Policy Section, Municipal Finance Branch, Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing
David Lindsay, Deputy Minister, Ministry of Energy
Sue Lo, Assistant Deputy Minister, Renewables and Energy Efficiency, Ministry of Energy
Proposed Business Appreciation Program

Basic Criteria:

- The business must reside within the municipal boundaries of the City of Hamilton and possess a municipal address (home based businesses are deemed ineligible)
- It must be a private sector business (not-for-profit enterprises are deemed ineligible)
- There is no restriction on the form of business organization (i.e. sole proprietorship, limited company, incorporated company)
- The business must be in operation for a minimum of five (5) years
- It must employ a minimum of five (5) employees
- The business cannot be in tax arrears (municipal)
- The business needs to demonstrate a unique accomplishment in:
  - # of years in business
  - Growth/expansion of the business
  - Job creation
  - Innovation and/or Research
  - Recognition provincially, nationally and/or internationally
  - Contribution to the community

Determination of the Businesses:

- Each Councillor is permitted to nominate two businesses per year in their Ward (preferably one small business and one medium/large sized business)
- The Mayor is permitted to nominate two businesses per year on a City-wide basis
- The companies will be identified jointly by the Ward Councillor and staff of the Economic Development Division. Recommendations from City staff will be generated through the Corporate Calling Program.

Appreciation of Businesses:

- Recognized at commencement of General Issues Committee by Committee Chair, Mayor and respective Ward Councillor
- Recognized at City Council as a formal ceremonial activity by the Mayor and respective Ward Councillor
- Certificate of Appreciation signed by the Mayor and respective Ward Councillor
- Company profile on Economic Development Division’s “Invest in Hamilton” website for two weeks or until next General Issues Committee meeting
- Year end acknowledgement referencing all recipients recognized in the year in the Hamilton Spectator