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RECOMMENDATION:

That Report PED10057 respecting Planning and Economic Development Performance Measures for 2009 be received.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Council’s approval of the Economic Development Governance Report (PED07306) on January 7, 2008 significantly increased both the budget and the staff complement of the City’s Planning and Economic Development Department. The budget enhancements were applied to four (4) divisions within the Planning and Economic Development Department, these being: the General Manager’s Office; Economic Development and Real Estate; Downtown and Community Renewal; and Planning. Additionally, there were a number of one-time allocations of funding to specific economic development initiatives and the establishment of a new Economic Development Investment Fund.

The approval of this budget enhancement also included direction to staff to develop a number of performance measures and benchmarks for the Department as identified in Report PED08303. In that report and pursuant to Council direction, 2007 was established as the base year and staff was directed to report back to Committee of the Whole every six (6) months. These performance measures were deemed necessary in order to track the
effectiveness of the new programs and staff resources, and to justify the resulting levy impact with measured value for the taxpayers’ dollar.

This report presents the 2009 performance measures at the divisional, department and corporate levels. In an effort to reduce the amount of staff resources allocated to compilation of this data, staff aligned these measures as closely as possible to the Focus Areas and SMART Performance Measures identified in City Council’s 2008 Strategic Plan as approved by Council on June 10, 2008.

**FINANCIAL / STAFFING / LEGAL IMPLICATIONS** (for Recommendation(s) only)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Implications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Financial</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staffing</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**HISTORICAL BACKGROUND** (Chronology of events)

The City of Hamilton’s entire Planning and Economic Development Department delivers both “programs” and “services” aimed at growing the local economy. “Programs” refer to specific activities involving the administration, delivery and marketing of economic development-related financial incentives (i.e. Enterprise Zone, Brownfields ERASE, Downtown Residential Loan, Commercial Property Improvement Grant, etc.) offered by the municipality. These programs are relatively easy to quantify in terms of effectiveness and establishing performance targets.

“Services”, on the other hand, are less tangible and more difficult to measure because they are generally demand based and subject to many uncontrollable variables (i.e. economic recession, exchange rates, interest rates, energy prices, changes of government, etc.). Regardless, all of the “services” like business attraction, retention and expansion, business facilitation, planning approvals, and marketing are critical to creating a positive business environment for the City and there is value in assigning performance measures.

At the January 7, 2008 Committee of the Whole, City Council approved Report PED07306: Economic Development: MOVING FORWARD Governance Review, Organizational Change, Engagement with Community and Enhanced Investment Funding that included two (2) specific recommendations regarding performance measures:
g) That the Economic Development and Real Estate Division’s Annual Work Plan include benchmarks and performance measures with identified targets of what is intended to be achieved each year and how activities have demonstrated support of Council’s strategic priorities.

h) That the Economic Development and Real Estate Division prepare quarterly Progress Reports on achievement of targets and identified performance measures.

On September 25, 2008, a draft of the proposed Performance Measures was presented to the City’s new Economic Development Advisory Committee. They provided three (3) significant recommendations:

1) Reduce the number of Performance Measures to be more realistic and attainable;
2) Extend the target dates for the performance measurements beyond annual targets to three (3) years or longer; and,
3) Extend the timelines for reporting on performance to Council.

The Advisory Committee felt that a “quarterly” report was too frequent and that there would be insufficient time for programs, initiatives, and a “new” pro-economic development environment to materialize in order to show achievement of these results. Consequently, in Report PED08303 Recommendation (b) was approved by Council that amended Report PED07306 Recommendation (g) to provide semi-annual reporting of the Performance Measures.

At the December 8, 2008 Committee of the Whole meeting, Report PED08303 provided specific detail on Performance Measures which were approved at the December 10, 2008 Council meeting. At that meeting, Report PED08303 was received with the following amendments:

4. Economic Development Performance Measures and Update on 2008 Initiatives and Budget Enhancements (PED08303) (Item 7.4)

(a) That the performance measures, benchmarks and identified targets outlined in Report PED08229 be adopted with the following amendments:
   (i) Under the City of Hamilton Section
      Add to first bullet point of Taxable Assessment Growth:
      (Commercial/Industrial growth rate #’s)

(b) That the General Manager of Planning and Economic Development report semi-annually, instead of quarterly, on the achievement of these targets as a general indicator of economic performance.

(c) That staff benchmark from 2007 with the first report to be presented to the Economic Development and Planning Committee by the end of June, 2009.
POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Not Applicable

RELEVANT CONSULTATION

Economic Development Advisory Committee
Downtown and Community Renewal Division
Real Estate Section, Economic Development and Real Estate Division
Strategic Services and Special Projects Division

ANALYSIS / RATIONALE FOR RECOMMENDATION

(include Performance Measurement/Benchmarking Data, if applicable)

2009 Performance Measures

The performance measures and targets are presented for the 2009 calendar year, and include comparison with 2008 and the established 2007 base year. Of these proposed Performance Measures listed below, approximately 83% (19 of 23) are identical to the SMART measures approved by City Council on June 10, 2008 as part of the Corporate Strategic Plan. The results for 2009 are presented in the following tables:

City of Hamilton

- Taxable assessment growth to exceed 1.5% by 2011.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total ($ million)</th>
<th>Res</th>
<th>Com/Ind</th>
<th>Inst/Gov’t</th>
<th>Assess Growth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>$801.7</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>$818.4</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>$692.4</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Meet established growth targets for 2011 of 540,000 people and 230,000 for GRIDS and Places to Grow.
  - in progress, ongoing.

- Increase the number of community-redevelopment projects and economic development-related initiatives.
  - in progress, ongoing. (i.e. ED Strategy, LEEDS, Creative Catalyst, Pan Am Games)
• Increase the employment rate by 3% by the next Census.  
  - *in progress, data for census to be released 2011.*

**Planning and Economic Development**

• Triple amount of shovel ready lands by 2011 to 850 acres.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Acres</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007 Shovel Ready</td>
<td>285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008 Shovel Ready</td>
<td>285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009 Shovel Ready</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Excludes 35 acres sold in Ancaster Industrial Park Phase 5
- Ongoing work re servicing and land assembly in North Glanbrook Business Park (now the Red Hill Business Park) and Stoney Creek

• Increase value of non-residential building permits by 5% by 2011.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total $ Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>403,031,887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>410,232,504</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

+1.7%

• Annual Customer Satisfaction Survey - 90% approval rating
  - Economic Development Rating by Clients on scale of 1-7, 7 being the best rating.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>% as a Whole 2008</th>
<th>% as a Whole 2009</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(N.B. 2009 Economic Development & Real Estate Division = 95% Approval Rating +3% increase over 2008)

  - The Urban Official Plan was approved by Council on June 29, 2009 and is currently awaiting Provincial approval. Draft Industrial zoning was subject to public and review and comment in 2009 and will be presented to Committee in May 2010 for approval.

*Vision*: To be the best place in Canada to raise a child, promote innovation, engage citizens and provide diverse economic opportunities.

*Values*: Honesty, Accountability, Innovation, Leadership, Respect, Excellence, Teamwork
Draft Commercial and Mixed Use Zones will be subject to public review and comment in Q1 and is expected to be presented to Committee in June for approval.

- Prepare detailed secondary plans for new employment areas.

- The Airport Employment Growth District Secondary Plan will be completed in Q2 of 2010 and is expected to be presented to a special Committee meeting April/May for approval.

The new Urban OP references a need for a special study/secondary plan for the Bayfront Industrial area. This work is planned for 2012. At this time, there are no plans to undertake secondary plans for any other employment area.

- Celebration of Successes - Annual Report to Community.
  - Economic Development completed Annual Report, two (2) gatefold supplements in 2009.

- Increase the number of positive media reporting.
  - In progress, working with new media tools to accurately track on a corporate basis.

Economic Development and Real Estate Division

- Increase number of Brownfields – Number of Council Approved Applications.

  2007  2008  2009
  2     3     2

- Increase number of new businesses by 5% per year.

  2007 (total # of Bus's)  2008 (total # of Bus's)  2009 (total # of Bus's)  %
  26,763*      27,689      N/A       +3.5%

  * represents Base year number

- Increase number of new jobs in Hamilton by 10% year. (source: Canada Revenue)

  2007 (total # of jobs)  2008 Total # of jobs
  195,577 *        N/A expecting data end of Q2 2009

  * represents Base Year number
• Increase number of small business jobs by 50 per year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>810</td>
<td>464</td>
<td>725</td>
<td>+56%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Increase impact of film by $1M per year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$12,000,000</td>
<td>$6,625,000</td>
<td>$6,075,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Increase total Gross Farm Receipts by Commodity types by 2% per year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2007 ($million)</th>
<th>2008 ($million)</th>
<th>2009 ($million)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$231.4*</td>
<td>$264.9</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*represents Base year number

• Increase number of Corporate Calls by 10% per year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2008 (# of calls)</th>
<th>2009 (# of calls)</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>253</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>+29%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Completion of multi-year Economic Development Strategy by December 2009.

**Downtown and Community Renewal Division**

• Decrease office vacancy rate by 25% in Downtown Core by 2011, using 2008 baseline.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>5% decrease</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Downtown and Community Renewal Division is developing and implementing Downtown Office Strategy – completed

• Increase the number of residential units in Downtown by 150 per year starting in 2009.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• Complete 2 significant waterfront developments per year starting in 2009.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Increase in Downtown property taxes by $1.45 million (per year) based on a 2008 baseline.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Assessment (total $million)</th>
<th>Increase in Taxes $million</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>$25,086,600</td>
<td>($242,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>$25,730,600</td>
<td>($242,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference</td>
<td>$644,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*please refer to Assessment Growth as a Performance Measure in next section
**please refer to Job Creation as a Performance Measure in next section
***please refer to Business Retention as a Performance Measure in next section

The identification of standard comprehensive performance measures and benchmarks for economic development is a complex issue that municipalities, development corporations, Provincial and State Governments, etc. have wrestled with for decades. More recently, over the last five (5) years, Ontario Municipal Benchmarking Initiatives organization (OMBI) has tried unsuccessfully to create standardized benchmarks for economic development. There are a number of reasons why this is the case. They include the following:

- Uncontrollable factors (i.e. global recession, energy prices, changes of government, etc.)
- Quantitative vs. qualitative measures
- Availability and accuracy of data bases
- The size of a municipality
- Degree of involvement/work by the service delivery organization
- The economic development priorities of the municipality
- The level of funding and resources for the economic development function
- The economic development model employed
- Two-tier or local municipal government model

Assessment Growth as a Performance Measure

At the April 3, 2007 Economic Development and Planning Committee meeting, staff presented a table highlighting the differential in the assessment growth between the former suburbs of the Region of Hamilton-Wentworth and the old City of Hamilton. The negative growth numbers in the old City of Hamilton’s non-residential classes were a direct result of a number of uncontrollable factors such as tax write-offs, successful assessment appeals, demolition of buildings, and the lack of employment lands. Due to the sheer size of the former City of Hamilton’s non-residential assessment base, the magnitude of successful assessment appeals, etc. have the negative effect of considerably lowering the overall
assessment growth performance of the City, even though the suburbs are performing above the Provincial average.

It is because of the uncontrollable nature of assessment growth that few municipalities across Canada employ assessment growth as their primary performance measure. The majority of large urban cities choose to use performance measures that can be specifically attributable to their economic development function. However, Council direction established Non-Residential Assessment growth as a key component in the City’s new Strategic Plan. City staff supported this performance measures but recommended that assessment growth targets (net of appeals, demolition, etc.) be established as a responsibility of the corporation as a whole and not just a specific department or division. Further, it should be noted that any gains in the City’s assessment does not quickly appear on the assessment rolls. There is at least a one (1) year lag from land acquisition through completion of construction and a further delay to the time that MPAC records the new investment and assigns new assessment.

Additionally, Hamilton’s non-residential to residential assessment growth ratio has been significantly impacted by the amount of residential growth in the last nine years since amalgamation. However, in 2009 Industrial permits increased by 113% and commercial permits by 37% over the previous years respectively while residential permits fell due to the recession. Generally, the lack of shovel ready land has influenced the ratio but in examining total value of Building Permits for that period (2001 – 2009) – Residential permits accounted for over $3 Billion while Non-Residential contributed only $1.6 Billion. Therefore, the 2 to 1 increase in residential assessment has a greatly impact on the ratio.

Job Creation as a Performance Measure

One of the most common measures of performance in economic development is the creation of new jobs across all non-residential classes (industrial, commercial and institutional) and its business attraction, business retention and expansion, and/or small business development efforts that create these new jobs. Even though new job creation is a popular performance measure, the important issue emerging now is quantity vs. quality of employment/new jobs. Specifically, what does a municipality value more - 100 jobs at $10/hour or 20 jobs at $50/hour? In today's business environment, white collar jobs are no more secure than blue collar jobs. In fact, since September 2008, the loss in manufacturing jobs and the financial sector across North America has been staggering and there is an emerging consensus that a “jobless’ recovery lies ahead. As a result, the cost of labour will continue to be the #1 driver behind the relentless off-shoring and outsourcing of business. The concept of sustainable jobs has now understandably gained prominence. There is no universally accepted definition/measure for a “sustainable job” but staff will continue to investigate this as a performance measure to be included at a future date.
Business Retention as a Performance Measure

Retaining and expanding established businesses in Hamilton was approved by Council as a major focus and a component of the 2007 reorganization of the Planning and Economic Development Department and the 2008 Budget Enhancements. Traditional economic development theory suggests that only 20% of non-residential growth is attributable to new companies moving to a city. Instead, it is the remaining 80% of non-residential assessment growth that originates from business already located in the community. There are many examples in 2009 of staff efforts that have prevented a business from closing, relocating outside the City or expanding their existing operations in Hamilton. Although these efforts may not have always created new jobs or increased assessment growth (remaining in existing premises or leasing new facilities) – the results of this service is critical to the prosperity of Hamilton and reported on in the Economic Development and Real Estate’s Annual Report.

Unemployment Rate as a Performance Measure

Although not identified by Council as a Performance Measure, the Monthly unemployment rate is an excellent indicator of the Corporation’s economic development efforts. Since the “Great Recession” officially commenced in the fourth quarter of 2008, Hamilton’s unemployment rate has not exceeded the Provincial average and only on a few occasions was higher than the National figure.

In 2009, Hamilton outperformed all other Ontario municipalities (with a reasonably sized manufacturing base) and remained consistently below 9% over the last 15 months. Hamilton’s unemployment rates may have actually been lower than stated because the City of Burlington and Towns of Milton and Halton Hills witnessed a large increase in vacant industrial space (+ 7 million s.f.) in 2009 all attributable to plant closures or relocations of which many Hamiltonians were employees of these firms. However, the unemployment rate is calculated on place of residence and not place of work thereby skewing results.

**ALTERNATIVES FOR CONSIDERATION:**

(include Financial, Staffing, Legal and Policy Implications and pros and cons for each alternative)

N/A
CORPORATE STRATEGIC PLAN (Linkage to Desired End Results)


Financial Sustainability
• Generate assessment growth/non-tax revenues

Growing Our Economy
• Competitive business environment

APPENDICES / SCHEDULES

None

NE:dt