TO: Chair and Members Planning Committee  WARD(S) AFFECTED: WARD 2
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RECOMMENDATION

(a) That Council includes 105 Erie Avenue (Hamilton) in the Register of Property of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest, following consultation with the Hamilton Municipal Heritage Committee, as per the provisions of the Ontario Heritage Act and Recommendation (e) to Report PED13130, and that staff makes the appropriate amendments to the Register of Property of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest;

(b) That Council directs staff to carry out a Cultural Heritage Assessment of 105 Erie Avenue (Hamilton) to determine whether the property is of cultural heritage value and worthy of designation under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;

(c) That if 105 Erie Avenue (Hamilton) is determined to be of cultural heritage value or interest, a Statement of Cultural Heritage Value and Description of Heritage Attributes be prepared by staff for Council’s consideration for designation under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;
(d) That the Cultural Heritage Assessment work be assigned a low priority, and be added to staff’s workplan for completion in 2018, as per Appendix “G” to Report PED13130;

(e) That Report PED13130 be forwarded to the Hamilton Municipal Heritage Committee for information and consultation, prior to the Council-approved inclusion of 105 Erie Avenue (Hamilton) in the Register of Property of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest;

(f) That Report PED13130 be forwarded to the owner of 105 Erie Avenue (Hamilton) for information.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

On May 2, 2013, the property owner requested that the subject property, located at 105 Erie Avenue (Hamilton), be designated under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act (see Appendix “A”). Under the Council-approved designation process (approved October 29, 2008 - Report PED08211), this Report contains background on this request and a preliminary evaluation of the subject property using the criteria contained in the Ontario Regulation 9/06. This preliminary evaluation of the property provides the basis for a recommendation for continuing Cultural Heritage Assessment work and assigning a workplan priority for this assessment work.

The subject property, located at 105 Erie Avenue (Hamilton) (see location map attached as Appendix “B” and photographs attached as Appendix “C”), comprises a two-and-one-half storey brick residence constructed circa 1893. Purchased by the current owner in 1992, the building has undergone extensive restoration of its many historic exterior and interior features. 105 Erie Avenue is an intact representative example of the vernacular Queen Anne architectural style. The subject property also has historical/associative value for its association with Hamilton’s economic growth and residential development in the late-nineteenth and early-twentieth centuries, and contextual value, as it contributes to the continuity of the stable residential streetscape.

The property is currently listed in Hamilton’s Inventory of Buildings of Architectural and/or Historical Interest. A preliminary assessment of the property has been undertaken by staff using the criteria contained in Ontario Regulation 9/06 (see Appendix “E”). The property is considered to have design/physical value, historical/associative value, and contextual value. Through this Report, staff recommends that 105 Erie Avenue (Hamilton) be included in the Register of Property of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest.
Furthermore, staff recommends that Council direct staff to carry out a Cultural Heritage Assessment of 105 Erie Avenue to determine whether the property is of cultural heritage value and worthy of designation under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act. Staff recommends that the Cultural Heritage Assessment work be assigned a low priority, as it is not currently subject to significant pressure for alteration or loss.

This further research and assessment work will provide Planning Committee and Council with adequate information upon which to base a decision regarding designation under the Ontario Heritage Act. The Hamilton Municipal Heritage Committee and appropriate City Departments will be consulted during the preparation of the Cultural Heritage Assessment and staff Report.

Alternatives for Consideration - See Page 9.

### FINANCIAL / STAFFING / LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

**Financial:** None.

**Staffing:** None.

**Legal:** The City’s Legal Counsel was consulted in the preparation of the original staff Report regarding the new designation process (Report PED08211), the recommendations of which are summarized below:

Owner consent is not required for designation of a property under the Ontario Heritage Act, finding in *Tremblay v. Lakeshore (Town)*, a 2003 Divisional Court decision, that the interests of the public, community, and the owner must all be considered when a Council decides whether or not to designate a property. The court ruled that, by making the owner’s consent a condition of designation, the Council fetters its discretion to make the designation decision, contrary to the Ontario Heritage Act. Accordingly, a Council may decide that it is in the public and/or community interest to conserve a property, despite objections by the owner.

As per the designation process, approved by Council on October 29, 2008 (see Appendix "D"), this Report provides staff with direction to complete further research and evaluation of the property for a later decision by Council. If staff is directed to proceed, Council will make a decision on designation at a subsequent stage in the designation process when it has before it a staff Report, the Cultural Heritage Assessment, a draft designating By-law, advice from the Hamilton Municipal Heritage Committee, and the positions of the property owner and any other interested parties.
HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

On May 2, 2013, the owner of the subject property sent a formal request to designate the property located at 105 Erie Avenue (Hamilton) under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act. The property owner expressed interest in designating the subject property as a form of recognition and protection of its intact exterior and interior heritage features. 105 Erie Avenue is a single-detached dwelling, constructed in 1893, which was converted into a duplex circa 1940 and was reverted back to a single-detached dwelling in the late 1980s. Purchased by the current owner in 1992, the building has undergone years of intensive restoration to its significant exterior and interior heritage features.

Designation under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act allows municipalities to recognize the cultural heritage value or interest of a property, and to conserve and manage the property through the Heritage Permit process enabled under Sections 33 (alterations) and 34 (demolition or removal) of the Act. Where alterations to designated properties are contemplated, an owner is required to apply for, obtain, and comply with a Heritage Permit for any alteration that “is likely to affect the property’s heritage attributes, as set out in the description of the property’s heritage attributes” (Sub-section 33(1)).

Designation does not restrict the use of a property, prohibit alterations or additions, or restrict the sale of a property. The City of Hamilton also provides heritage grant and loan programs to assist in the continuing conservation of properties once they are designated. A process for considering requests for designation was approved by Council on October 29, 2008 (see Appendix “D”), and recognizes the Divisional Court decision Tremblay v. Lakeshore (Town).

This Report also identifies a staff recommendation for the work plan priority for further Cultural Heritage Assessment work within the context of a five-year timeframe, as per the Council-approved designation process.

Work Program Priority:

The Council-approved designation process provides for the prioritization of detailed research and assessment work. Within the annual work program, Heritage staff can typically process three to four properties through the designation process, including the preparation of the comprehensive Cultural Heritage Assessment reports and the processing of the designation By-laws in conjunction with Clerks. According to the Council-approved process, Committee and Council may assign a high, medium, or low priority to a designation request in the context of a one- to five-year timeframe. These priorities generally fall within the following time frames:

- A high priority would direct staff to prepare the Cultural Heritage Assessment within the current year’s work program;
A medium priority would direct the designation request to the 2nd or 3rd year of the work program; or,

A low priority would direct the request to the 4th or 5th year of the work program.

Work program priorities are assigned based on a number of factors, including:

- Risk to the property with respect to demolition or removal;
- Funding eligibility;
- Heritage value associated with the property;
- Current level of property maintenance;
- The property is City-owned; and,
- Work program/Staff resources.

The currently approved work program priorities are contained in Appendix “F”. An analysis of the recommended work program priority for the Cultural Heritage Assessment of the subject property can be found on Page 8 of this Report.

### POLICY IMPLICATIONS/LEGISLATED REQUIREMENTS

#### Ontario Heritage Act:

Inclusion in the City’s Register of Property of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest under Section 27 (1.2) of the Ontario Heritage Act requires that Council be given 60-days notice of the intention to demolish or remove any building or structure on the property, and the demolition and removal of any building or structure is prohibited during this time period.

#### City of Hamilton Official Plan:

Section C.6 - Heritage Resources of the former City of Hamilton Official Plan encourages the preservation, maintenance, reconstruction, restoration, and management of property considered to have historic, architectural, or aesthetic value (C.6.1).

#### Urban Hamilton Official Plan:

Section 3.4 - Cultural Heritage Resources Policies of the Council-adopted Hamilton Urban Official Plan (adopted July 9, 2009) states that the City shall “protect and conserve the tangible cultural heritage resources of the City, including archaeological resources, built heritage resources, and cultural heritage landscapes” (3.4.2.1(a)), and “identify cultural heritage resources through a continuing process of inventory, survey, and evaluation, as a basis for the wise management of these resources” (3.4.2.1(b)).
The policies also provide that the “City may, by By-law, designate individual and groups of properties of cultural heritage value under Parts IV and V, respectively, of the Ontario Heritage Act” (3.4.2.3).

Although the Urban Hamilton Official Plan has been approved by the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing, it has been appealed, and is not in force and effect. At the time of preparation of this Report, a decision on the appeals has not been made by the Ontario Municipal Board. However, these policies demonstrate Council’s commitment to the identification, protection, and conservation of the cultural heritage resources. The recommendations of this Report are consistent with these policies.

### RELEVANT CONSULTATION

This Report is the initial stage in the consideration of a request for designation under the process approved by Council on October 29, 2008. Regardless of the owner’s acceptance or objection to designation, Council does not have enough information at this time to determine whether it is in the public interest and/or community interest to conserve the property (see Legal Implications). The purpose of this Report is to provide staff with direction to complete further research and evaluation of the property in order to assemble the information for a later decision by Council.

The owner will be contacted when consideration of the potential designation of the subject property is to be discussed, and would be notified of Council’s intent to designate and the passing of any By-laws under the public notification provisions of the Ontario Heritage Act. In keeping with Council’s intent in approving the designation process, it is recommended that the owner be forwarded a copy of this Report and advised of any further assessment work to be completed.

Staff will follow the Council-approved process (see Appendix “D”) and formally consult with the Hamilton Municipal Heritage Committee, prior to inclusion of the subject property in the Register.

### ANALYSIS / RATIONALE FOR RECOMMENDATION

150 Erie Avenue (Hamilton):

150 Erie Avenue is a 0.06 acre property, on the east side of Erie Avenue, directly north of the intersection of Stinson Street and Erie Avenue in Hamilton (see Appendix “B”). Constructed in 1893, the property is comprised of a two-and-one-half storey, red-brick building designed in the vernacular Queen Anne architectural style. The subject property is a well-preserved example of the vernacular Queen Anne style, as it retains many of its notable exterior and interior heritage features. Historically, the building is a reminder of the residential growth patterns in the City of Hamilton in the late-nineteenth
and early-twentieth centuries, and contributes to the residential streetscape on Erie Avenue. The property is considered to be of design/physical value, historical/associative value, and contextual value.

Preliminary Evaluation - Ontario Regulation 9/06:

In 2006, the Province issued criteria for determining cultural heritage value or interest under the Ontario Heritage Act. The regulation identifies three broad categories of criteria: Design/Physical Value, Historical/Associative Value, and Contextual Value, under which three subsets of criteria are further identified (see Appendix “E”). The following provides a preliminary evaluation using the criteria contained in Ontario Regulation 9/06 - Criteria for Determining Cultural Heritage Value or Interest:

1. Design/Physical Value:

105 Erie Avenue is a two-and-one-half storey, red-brick building constructed as a single-detached dwelling in 1893. It is a representative example of the vernacular Queen Anne architectural style in its composition, design, and materials, and displays a high degree of craftsmanship characteristic of this style.

The design/physical value of the property lies in its exterior architectural features typical of the Queen Anne style, including: asymmetrical composition; polychromatic brickwork; a two-storey front bay with a projecting gable, decorative bargeboard, returning eaves and decorative wooden brackets with a sunbeam design; segmental windows with buff-brick voussoirs and stone lug sills; stained-glass transoms in the double front doors; and the full-length front porch. Of particular note in the front porch are: the broken-course cut-stone columns; the round wooden columns with ornate capitals; the plain entablature with a dentillated course; the pedimented gable with a carved-wood design; the wooden bow railing with spindles; and the wooden lattice along the porch foundation. As well, many of the windows retain the historic wooden storms, shutters, and hardware, and the main entrance retains its historic hardware, including the windup turn bell, hinges and door knobs, and decorative wooden scrollwork.

The design/physical value of the property also lies in its interior architectural features, which include: the original wood trim; the intricate plaster ceiling features found in the dining and living rooms; the ornate marble fireplace; the historic moulding with corner detailing; the remaining wooden railing and detailing of the main staircase; the historic claw foot tub in the bathroom; the historic interior doors with original hardware and transoms; and the remaining historic hardwood flooring.
2. **Historical/Associative Value:**

The dwelling, located at 105 Erie Avenue (Hamilton), was built in 1893, and yields an understanding of residential development in Hamilton as a direct result of the economic and industrial prosperity in the late-nineteenth and early-twentieth centuries. The 105 Erie Avenue property was home to numerous owners and tenants, including the Bartmann family, who owned the property for approximately 80 years in total until 1988. This continuous family ownership is significant, and has played a part in maintaining the historic integrity of the building over time. Originally constructed as a single-detached dwelling, the Bartmann family converted 105 Erie Avenue into a duplex in the 1940s for their daughter Gretta Bartmann to live in.

3. **Contextual Value:**

105 Erie Avenue supports the overall historic character of the surrounding area, and contributes to the continuity of the residential streetscape in terms of similar materials, forms, and scales. 105 Erie Avenue remains today in the same context as when it was first built; the stable residential streetscape and surrounding neighbourhood have seen very little change since the building was constructed.

**Conclusion:**

105 Erie Avenue (Hamilton) is considered to be of design/physical value, historical/associative value, and contextual value. Staff concludes that the property is of potential cultural heritage value or interest sufficient to warrant its inclusion in the City’s Register of Property of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest, and to warrant further research and assessment for purposes of possible designation under the Ontario Heritage Act.

**Work Program Priority:**

Staff recommends that further research and Cultural Heritage Assessment work for 105 Erie Avenue (Hamilton) be assigned a low priority within the staff work program, as it is not currently subject to significant pressure for alteration or loss, and does not appear to be substantially deteriorating or facing immediate threat requiring immediate protection under the Ontario Heritage Act. Although the property may face potential redevelopment and/or demolition pressure from any future owner, staff recommends that the Cultural Heritage Assessment work be assigned a low priority provided that the property be included in the City of Hamilton Register of Property of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest.
Inclusion on the Register will allow staff the opportunity to obtain a Heritage Impact Assessment, should a notice of intention to demolish be received by Council or if a development application under the Planning Act is received.

The assignment of a low priority to the subject designation request would place the research and preparation of a Cultural Heritage Assessment on the staff work program for 2018, and would not displace any of the existing priorities (see Appendix “G”).

**ALTERNATIVES FOR CONSIDERATION**

Council, as advised by its Municipal Heritage Committee, may consider the following alternatives:

- Council may direct staff to not complete a Cultural Heritage Assessment, and no further work will be completed by staff. This alternative is contrary to the Council-approved process for considering requests for designation, whereby legitimate requests for designation must be addressed and cannot be dismissed without complete consideration of all the issues (see Legal Implications section of this Report).

- Council may assign a different work program priority for further Cultural Heritage Assessment than recommended by staff. Given the consideration of all the factors noted in the Analysis/Rationale for Recommendation section of this Report, staff is of the opinion that the recommended work program priority is warranted.

- Council may decide not to include the subject property in the municipal Register of Property of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest. If the property is not included in the Register, then the municipality would be unable to protect the heritage resource from demolition and/or review any potential redevelopment. This alternative is not considered to be an appropriate conservation alternative.

**ALIGNMENT TO THE 2012 – 2015 STRATEGIC PLAN:**

**Strategic Priority #1 - A Prosperous and Healthy Community**

*WE enhance our image, economy and well-being by demonstrating that Hamilton is a great place to live, work, play and learn.*

**Strategic Objective**

1.6 Enhance Overall Sustainability (financial, economic, social and environmental).
Staff Comments:

The inclusion of this property in the Register will allow staff the opportunity to review any proposed demolition, new development, or redevelopment on or adjacent to the subject property to ensure consistency with the City’s approved planning polices. There is demonstrated public interest in the cultural heritage value of this property (past inclusion in the City’s Inventory of Buildings of Architectural and/or Historical Interest and this request) and its conservation as a community resource. The approval of the recommendations of this Report acknowledges this public interest.

The approval of the recommendations of this Report demonstrates:

- Council’s commitment to the Council-approved designation process and existing planning policies; and,

- Council’s commitment to conserving cultural heritage resources, as directed by Provincial and Federal level policies.
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Attachs. (7)
To Whom It May Concern

I am writing you today about my house in Hamilton at 105 Erie Ave. My wife, two daughters and I have lived there for the past 21 years. The reason we bought this home was because of all the originality that was still intact. The place was oozing with character, but at the same time needed a lot of work to bring it back to its formal glory. We knew right away that we wanted to do a restoration job more than just renovating and losing some of the charm along the way.

So over the past 20 years we’ve restored the house from top to bottom.

Now we wanted to start the process of having our house designated a heritage home.

The house was built in 1893 and is 2 1/2 stories made of brick and wood trim, and is of double brick construction. All the exterior wood trim, original windows and storms, along with original wooden shutters are intact, getting back to the storm windows that are original. All inside windows are original.
The front entranceway has the original double front doors with hardware including a winout doorbell, and stained glass address at the top of each door. There is also a large wooden front porch with stone corners along with wooden pillars with ornate plaster designs at the top. There is a wooden bow railing in front and at the sides of the porch. All the spindles are intact. Before we painted the front porch my wife and I stripped all the old paint down to the bare wood, sanded, primed and painted. The exterior front top of the house has original intricate design in the wood trim. There is an addition on the back of the house that has the original wooden clapboard along with original window.

The interior of the house has original 11" trim throughout. Original doors and hardware, 12' ceilings, window trim, plaster ceiling fixtures, Victorian marble fire place.

Researching historical archives at the Hamilton library, phone directories and registries indicates the house was built in 1893. A person named B. Winnifirth lived there in 1894. Robert Patterson lived there from 1896 until the early 1900's, Jim Bartmann and his family moved in shortly after sometime in the early 1940's the house was converted into a duplex with Gretta Bartmann living in one unit and her parents residing in the other unit. By the mid 1950's Gretta was in the house alone.
Sometime after 1955, Mrs. Armel moved into the vacant unit and Gretha Bartmann lived in the other. This continued until the early 1970's. Then someone named M. Lunt moved in taking over Mrs. Armel's unit. M. Lunt lived there until the late 1970's. Gretha Bartmann continued to reside in the house until 1988, purchased the home in 1988 and lived there until 1992. That's when my wife and I bought the home and continue to live there now.

So it looks like the Bartmann family lived in the home for around 80 years. It would be great if we could find some old photos. Maybe there's some long lost relatives of the Bartmann family.

In closing, I just want to say that restoring our home at 105 Erie Ave. has been a labour of love. We take great pride in preserving and restoring the home to as original as possible. I think the icing on the cake for us is to have the home designated a heritage home and to have a plaque hung on the exterior indicating so. Also, I fully understand what comes with a heritage home and agree with all the stipulations that encompass future restoring projects.

Thanks, Joe Gossecin
Appendix “B” to Report PED13130
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Location Map
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105 Erie Ave

Date:
June 17, 2013
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Subject Property

105 Erie Avenue

Ward 2 Key Map N.T.S.
105 Erie Avenue, Hamilton – Front façade facing west onto Erie Avenue (2013)
105 Erie Avenue, Hamilton – Northwest view of front façade and porch detail (2013)

105 Erie Avenue, Hamilton – Front porch detailing facing onto Erie Avenue (2013)
105 Erie Avenue, Hamilton – Front double doors detailing with original hardware, turn bell and stain glass transoms (left); Front bay bracket, eave and window detail (right) (2013)

Erie Avenue, Hamilton – Looking southeast to Stinson Avenue, 105 Erie Avenue (second from the right) (2013)
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Ontario Heritage Act

ONTARIO REGULATION 9/06

CRITERIA FOR DETERMINING CULTURAL HERITAGE VALUE OR INTEREST

Criteria:

1.(1) The criteria set out in Sub-section (2) are prescribed for the purposes of Cause 29 (1) (a) of the Act. O. Reg. 9/06, s. 1 (1).

(2) A property may be designated under Section 29 of the Act if it meets one or more of the following criteria for determining whether it is of cultural heritage value or interest:

1. The property has design value or physical value because it:
   i. Is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material, or construction method;
   ii. Displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,
   iii. Demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement.

2. The property has historical value or associative value because it:
   i. Has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;
   ii. Yields, or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,
   iii. Demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer, or theorist who is significant to a community.

3. The property has contextual value because it:
   i. Is important in defining, maintaining, or supporting the character of an area;
   ii. Is physically, functionally, visually, or historically linked to its surroundings; or,
   iii. Is a landmark. O. Reg. 9/06, s. 1 (2).
Requests to Designate Properties under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act: 
Priorities (as amended by Report PED13064)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Date of Request</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>104 King Street West, Dundas (Dundas Post Office)</td>
<td>24-Sep-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>71 Claremont Drive, Hamilton</td>
<td>28-May-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>82-112 King Street East, Hamilton (Royal Connaught)</td>
<td>09-Apr-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>167 Book Road, Ancaster</td>
<td>24-May-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>1284 Main Street East, Hamilton (Delta Secondary School)</td>
<td>17-May-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>52-56 Charlton Avenue West, Hamilton</td>
<td>24-Apr-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>91 John Street South, Hamilton</td>
<td>25-Oct-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>140 Locke Street South, Hamilton</td>
<td>03-Jun-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>3027 Homestead Drive, Mount Hope</td>
<td>24-Jan-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>1395-1401 King Street East, Hamilton</td>
<td>04-Aug-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>1062 Golf Club Road, Binbrook (Woodburn)</td>
<td>27-Mar-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Gage Park, Hamilton</td>
<td>23-Mar-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Gore Park, Hamilton</td>
<td>24-Apr-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Tisdale House, Ancaster</td>
<td>16-Aug-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>111 Kenilworth Access, Hamilton (Barton Reservoir)</td>
<td>26-Feb-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>262 MacNab Street North, Hamilton</td>
<td>18-Dec-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Desjardins Canal, Dundas</td>
<td>26-Feb-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>1065 Highway 8, Stoney Creek</td>
<td>27-Aug-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>170 Longwood Road North, Hamilton</td>
<td>15-Feb-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>4-12 John Street North, Hamilton (Treble Hall)</td>
<td>08-Feb-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>7 Ravenscliffe Avenue, Hamilton</td>
<td>10-Jun-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>39 Lakeview Drive, Stoney Creek</td>
<td>17-Feb-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>231 Ferguson Avenue South (Ferguson Avenue Pumping Station), Hamilton</td>
<td>18-Oct-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>2251 Rymal Road East, Stoney Creek (Former Elfrida Church)</td>
<td>20-Dec-12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Requests to Designate Properties under Part IV of the **Ontario Heritage Act**:  
Priorities (as amended by this Report)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Date of Request</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>104 King Street West, Dundas (Dundas Post Office)</td>
<td>24-Sep-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>71 Claremont Drive, Hamilton</td>
<td>28-May-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>82-112 King Street East, Hamilton (Royal Connaught)</td>
<td>09-Apr-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>167 Book Road, Ancaster</td>
<td>24-May-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>1284 Main Street East, Hamilton (Delta Secondary School)</td>
<td>17-May-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>52-56 Charlton Avenue West, Hamilton</td>
<td>24-Apr-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>91 John Street South, Hamilton</td>
<td>25-Oct-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>140 Locke Street South, Hamilton</td>
<td>03-Jun-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>3027 Homestead Drive, Mount Hope</td>
<td>24-Jan-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>1395-1401 King Street East, Hamilton</td>
<td>04-Aug-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>1062 Golf Club Road, Binbrook (Woodburn)</td>
<td>27-Mar-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Gage Park, Hamilton</td>
<td>23-Mar-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Gore Park, Hamilton</td>
<td>24-Apr-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Tisdale House, Ancaster</td>
<td>16-Aug-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>111 Kenilworth Access, Hamilton (Barton Reservoir)</td>
<td>26-Feb-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>262 MacNab Street North, Hamilton</td>
<td>18-Dec-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Desjardins Canal, Dundas</td>
<td>26-Feb-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>1065 Highway 8, Stoney Creek</td>
<td>27-Aug-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>170 Longwood Road North, Hamilton</td>
<td>15-Feb-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>4-12 John Street North, Hamilton (Treble Hall)</td>
<td>08-Feb-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>7 Ravenscliffe Avenue, Hamilton</td>
<td>10-Jun-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>39 Lakeview Drive, Stoney Creek</td>
<td>17-Feb-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>231 Ferguson Avenue South (Ferguson Avenue Pumping Station), Hamilton</td>
<td>18-Oct-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>105 Erie Avenue, Hamilton</td>
<td>02-May-13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>