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WARD(S) AFFECTED: WARD 3
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RECOMMENDATION

(a) That Council direct staff to carry out a Cultural Heritage Assessment of 378 Main Street East (Hamilton) (Cathedral School), to determine whether the property is of cultural heritage value and worthy of designation under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;

(b) That if 378 Main Street East (Hamilton) (Cathedral School) is determined to be of cultural heritage value or interest, a Statement of Cultural Heritage Value and Description of Heritage Attributes be prepared by staff for Council’s consideration for designation under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;

(c) That the Cultural Heritage Assessment work be assigned a medium priority, and be added to staff’s workplan for completion in 2016, as per Appendix “G” to Report PED13168;
(d) That Council include 378 Main Street East (Hamilton) (Cathedral School) in the Municipal Register of Property of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest, following consultation with the Municipal Heritage Committee, as per the provisions of the Ontario Heritage Act and Recommendation (e) to Report PED13168, and that staff make appropriate amendments to the Register of Property of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest;

(e) That Report PED13168 be forwarded to the Hamilton Municipal Heritage Committee for information and consultation, prior to the Council-approved inclusion of 378 Main Street East (Hamilton) in the Municipal Register of Property of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest;

(f) That Report PED13168 be forwarded to the owner of 378 Main Street East (Hamilton) for information.

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

On August 4, 2013, staff received a third-party request from the Acting President of the Stinson Community Association for the designation of the subject property under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act (see Appendix “A”). The property, municipally addressed as 378 Main Street East (Hamilton) (see Appendix “B”), is also addressed as 376 and 380 Main Street East. The property comprises a two-storey institutional building known as Cathedral School, which was erected for the Separate School Board in 1928 (see Appendix “C”). The school was designed in the Neo-Gothic architectural style, commonly referred to as Collegiate Gothic, by Hamilton Architects, Hutton & Souter, and built by the Hamilton Pigott Construction Company. The building underwent two additions; a one-storey frame classroom to the east of the original structure in 1942, and the addition of the Emerald Street Wing on the west side in 1951.

The subject property is included in the City's Inventory of Buildings of Architectural and/or Historical Interest. Under the Council-approved designation process (approved October 29, 2008 - Report PED08211, see Appendix “D”), this Report contains background on the request and a preliminary evaluation of the subject property using the criteria contained in Ontario Regulation 9/06 (see Appendix “E”). This preliminary evaluation of the property provides the basis for a recommendation for continuing Cultural Heritage Assessment work, and for assigning a workplan priority for this assessment work.

Staff concludes that the property meets all three of the criteria contained in the criteria contained in Ontario Regulation 9/06, in that it is considered to have design/physical value, historical/associative value, and contextual value. Through this Report, staff is recommending that 378 Main Street East (Hamilton) (Cathedral School) be included in the Municipal Register of Property of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest.
Furthermore, staff recommends that Council direct staff to carry out a Cultural Heritage Assessment of 378 Main Street East to determine whether the property is of cultural heritage value worthy of designation under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act as a medium priority within staff’s workplan. This further research and assessment work will provide Committee and Council with adequate information upon which to base a decision regarding designation under the Ontario Heritage Act. The Hamilton Municipal Heritage Committee and the property owner will be consulted during the preparation of the Cultural Heritage Assessment and the staff Report.

**Alternatives for Consideration - See Page 9.**

### FINANCIAL / STAFFING / LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

**Financial:** None.

**Staffing:** None.

**Legal:** The City’s Legal Council was consulted in the preparation of the original staff Report regarding the new designation process (Report PED08211), the recommendations of which are summarized below:

Owner consent is not required for designation of a property under the Ontario Heritage Act, finding in Tremblay v. Lakeshore (Town), a 2003 Divisional Court decision, that the interests of the public, community, and the owner must all be considered when a Council decides whether or not to designate a property. The Court ruled that, by making the owner’s consent a condition of designation, the Council fetters its discretion to make the designation decision, contrary to the Ontario Heritage Act. Accordingly, a Council may decide that it is in the public and/or community interest to conserve a property, despite objections by the owner.

As per the designation process, approved by Council on October 29, 2008 (see Appendix “D”), this Report provides staff with direction to complete further research and evaluation of the property for a later decision by Council. If staff is directed to proceed, Council will make a decision on designation at a subsequent stage in the designation process when it has before it a staff Report, the Cultural Heritage Assessment, a draft designating By-law, advice from the Hamilton Municipal Heritage Committee, and the positions of the property owner and any other interested parties.
HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

On August 4, 2013, staff received a third-party request from the Acting President of the Stinson Community Association to designate the Cathedral School, located at 378 Main Street East (Hamilton), also addressed as 376 and 380 Main Street East (Hamilton), under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act (see Appendix “A”). The designation of Cathedral School was originally pursued in 1989, but was deferred until the school became vacant and faced pressure for redevelopment or demolition. Owned by the Roman Catholic Diocese of Hamilton, and originally occupied by the Separate School Board, the property is currently home to an alternative learning centre, “Wilma’s Place”. As the current tenants of the property will be moving location and vacating the building in September 2013, the future use of the building is unknown.

Under the Council-approved process for considering requests for designation, a preliminary screening has been undertaken through this staff Report using the criteria contained in Ontario Regulation 9/06 to determine if the property warrants inclusion in the Municipal Register, and to determine if further Cultural Heritage Assessment work is warranted. Inclusion of the subject property in the Register enables staff to monitor any changes to the property, encourages the retention of its heritage attributes, and ensures adequate documentation, prior to demolition. This Report also identifies a staff recommendation for the workplan priority of further Cultural Heritage Assessment work within the context of a three-year timeframe, as per the Council-approved designation process.

Work Program Priority:

The Council-approved designation process provides for the prioritization of detailed research and assessment work. Within the annual work program, Heritage staff can typically process three to four properties through the designation process, including the preparation of the comprehensive Cultural Heritage Assessment Reports and the processing of the designation By-laws, in conjunction with Clerks. According to the Council-approved process, Committee and Council may assign a high, medium, or low priority to a designation request in the context of a one- to five-year timeframe. These priorities generally fall within the following time frames:

- A high priority would direct staff to prepare the Cultural Heritage Assessment within the current year’s work program;
- A medium priority would direct the designation request to the 2nd or 3rd year of the work program; or,
- A low priority would direct the request to the 4th or 5th year of the work program.
Work program priorities are assigned based on a number of factors, including:

- Risk to the property with respect to demolition or removal;
- Funding eligibility;
- Heritage value associated with the property;
- Current level of property maintenance;
- The property is City-owned; and,
- Work program/Staff resources.

The currently approved work program priorities are contained in Appendix “F”.

An analysis of the recommended work program priority for the Cultural Heritage Assessment of the subject property can be found on Page 9 of this Report.

**POLICY IMPLICATIONS/LEGISLATED REQUIREMENTS**

**Ontario Heritage Act:**

Inclusion in the Municipal Register of Property of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest under Section 27 (1.2) of the *Ontario Heritage Act* requires that Council be given 60-days notice of the intention to demolish or remove any building or structure on the property, and the demolition and removal of any building or structure is prohibited during this time period.

**Urban Hamilton Official Plan:**

Section B.3.4 - *Cultural Heritage Resources Policies* of the Urban Hamilton Official Plan states that the City shall “protect and conserve the tangible cultural heritage resources of the City, including archaeological resources, built heritage resources, and cultural heritage landscapes” (B.3.4.2.1(a)), and “identify cultural heritage resources through a continuing process of inventory, survey, and evaluation, as a basis for the wise management of these resources” (B.3.4.2.1(b)). The policies also provide that the “City may, by By-law, designate individual and groups of properties of cultural heritage value under Parts IV and V, respectively, of the *Ontario Heritage Act*” (B.3.4.2.3).

**RELEVANT CONSULTATION**

This Report is the initial stage in the consideration of a request for designation under the process approved by Council on October 29, 2008. Regardless of the owner’s acceptance or objection to designation, Council does not have enough information at this time to determine whether it is in the public interest and/or community interest to conserve the property (see Legal Implications).
The purpose of this Report is to provide staff with direction to complete further research and evaluation of the property in order to assemble the information for a later decision by Council.

The owner will be contacted when consideration of the potential designation of the subject property is to be discussed, and would be notified of Council's intent to designate and the passing of any By-laws under the public notification provisions of the Ontario Heritage Act. In keeping with Council's intent in approving the designation process, it is recommended that the owner be forwarded a copy of this Report and advised of any further assessment work to be completed, and whether the property has been included in the Municipal Register of Properties of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest.

Staff will follow the Council-approved process (see Appendix “D”) and formally consult with the Hamilton Municipal Heritage Committee, prior to inclusion of the subject property in the Register.

### ANALYSIS / RATIONALE FOR RECOMMENDATION

**378 Main Street East (Hamilton) (Cathedral School):**

378 Main Street East is a 1.28 acre property, located at the southeast corner of Main Street East and Emerald Street, in the City of Hamilton. The institutional building is of reinforced-concrete and steel-frame construction with stone facades. The building comprises a basement and two floors, designed in the Collegiate Gothic architectural style, built in 1928, with additions made in 1942 and 1951 to accommodate growing student populations. The property is considered to be of design/physical value, historical/associative value, and contextual value.

### Preliminary Evaluation - Ontario Regulation 9/06:

In 2006, the Province issued criteria for determining cultural heritage value or interest under the Ontario Heritage Act. The regulation identifies three broad categories of criteria: Design/Physical Value, Historical/Associative Value, and Contextual Value, under which three subsets of criteria are further identified (see Appendix “E”). The following provides a preliminary evaluation using the criteria contained in *Ontario Regulation 9/06 - Criteria for Determining Cultural Heritage Value or Interest*:

1. **Design/Physical Value:**

   The design/physical value of the property lies in its artistic merit, high degree of craftsmanship, and its representative expression of the Collegiate Gothic style through its use of materials and construction methods.
Cathedral School is a two-storey institutional building, designed by well-known Architects Messrs. Hutton & Souter of Hamilton, in the Neo-Gothic architectural style. The school, constructed in 1928, is a representative example of Collegiate Gothic, a form of the Neo-Gothic architectural style commonly employed for schools and universities in Canada in the early-twentieth century. The building is a reinforced concrete and steel structure clad with rock-faced Credit Valley sandstone ashlar, and smooth cut, light buff Indiana limestone trim.

Cathedral School's architectural features, typical of the Collegiate Gothic style, include: the stone façade and accents; the symmetrical composition; the prominent centre frontispiece with carved ornamentation; and the Gothic buttresses.

Cathedral School features wings projecting slightly forward of the main façade, with windows oriented to the sides of the building. The focal point of the main façade is the ornate centre frontispiece with a pointed archway flanked by Gothic buttresses and the original pair of suspended lanterns. Above is a carved stone cross and plaque bearing the name “Cathedral School”. Also noteworthy, are the marble staircase leading from the archway to the main entrance doorway; the stone-framed window bays with the remaining wood windows featuring multi-paned upper sashes; and the crenellated parapet walls with limestone capping.

2. **Historical/Associative Value:**

The historical/associative value of the property lies in the institution’s long-standing significant association with the surrounding Catholic community, and in its demonstration of work by the noted Hamilton architectural firm of Hutton & Souter, and Pigott Construction Company.

In 1925, the Separate School Board authorized the building of a new and larger building to meet the needs of the fast growing male student population at St. Patrick’s School. Catholic households were canvassed for donations to help fund the building of the Cathedral School. Cathedral School opened in 1928, as the third Catholic High School in Hamilton, and became known as the Cathedral Boy’s School. Owned by the Roman Catholic Diocese of Hamilton, the school followed the Roman Catholic tradition of education.

Cathedral School is one of the only five remaining Catholic schools built in Hamilton between 1891 and 1945. It is the third and only survivor of the three buildings erected in this period to accommodate a Catholic Boys’ High School.
Among the City’s early-twentieth century Collegiate Gothic school buildings, Cathedral School was designed by the noted Hamilton architectural firm of Hutton & Souter, responsible for other prominent institutional buildings such as the Cathedral of Christ the King (1931-3), the Dominion Public Building (1935-6), and Delta Collegiate (1924). Cathedral School is one of four separate school buildings designed by Hutton & Souter, the other three being: Basilica Elementary School, built in 1932 and now the Roman Catholic Chancery Office; Holy Family School, built in 1945; and the 1933 Sisters of Loretto Academy Building.

The Pigott Construction Company, Hamilton, was the general contractor for the Cathedral School. The Pigott Construction Company operated through the twentieth century in Southern Ontario and played a major role in shaping the architectural landscape of the City of Hamilton. Some of the more prominent buildings built by the Pigott Construction Company in Hamilton include: the Canadian Westinghouse offices, Banks of Nova Scotia, Royal, and Montreal, McMaster University, the County Court House, Westdale Secondary School, St. Joseph's Hospital, the Pigott Building, the new City Hall, and the Cathedral of Christ the King.

3. **Contextual Value:**

The contextual value of the property lies its physical, functional, visual and historical links to its surroundings and, as such, it can be considered a landmark within the area.

Cathedral School is located at the corner of Main Street East and Emerald Street. The school’s original setting is still well preserved on the northern edge of the Stinson Neighbourhood. The building has a deep setback from Main Street East, reinforcing the prominence of the Collegiate Gothic architectural style and establishing the building as a landmark in the community. The school is visually and physically linked to the surrounding neighbourhood, with entrances fronting onto Main Street East and Emerald Street.

**Conclusion:**

Cathedral High School is considered to be of design/physical value, historical/associative value, and contextual value. Staff concludes that the subject property, located at 378 Main Street East (Hamilton) (Cathedral School), is of potential cultural heritage value or interest, sufficient to warrant its inclusion in the Municipal Register of Property of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest. Staff also recommends further research and assessment for purposes of possible designation under the Ontario Heritage Act.
Work Program Priority:

Staff recommends that further research and cultural heritage assessment work for 378 Main Street East (Hamilton) (Cathedral School) be assigned a medium priority within the staff work program. Although the property may face potential redevelopment and/or demolition pressure from any future land owner, staff recommends that the cultural heritage assessment work be assigned a medium priority provided that the property be included in the Municipal Register of Property of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest. Inclusion in the Register will allow staff the opportunity to review any proposed demolition, new development, or redevelopment on or adjacent to the subject property to ensure consistency with the City’s approved planning polices.

The assignment of a medium priority to the subject designation request would place the research and preparation of a Cultural Heritage Assessment on the staff work program for 2016 (see Appendix “G”).

ALTERNATIVES FOR CONSIDERATION

Council, as advised by its Municipal Heritage Committee, may consider the following alternatives:

- Council may direct staff to not complete a Cultural Heritage Assessment, and no further work will be completed by staff. This alternative is contrary to the Council-approved process for considering requests for designation, whereby legitimate requests for designation must be addressed and cannot be dismissed without complete consideration of all the issues (see Legal Implications section of this Report).

- Council may assign a different work program priority for further Cultural Heritage Assessment than recommended by staff. Given the consideration of all the factors noted in the Analysis/Rationale for Recommendation section of this Report, staff is of the opinion that the recommended work program priority is warranted.

- Council may decide not to include the subject property in the Municipal Register of Property of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest. If the property is not included in the Register, then the municipality would be unable to protect the heritage resource from demolition and/or review any potential redevelopment. This alternative is not considered to be an appropriate conservation alternative.

OUR Vision: To be the best place in Canada to raise a child, promote innovation, engage citizens and provide diverse economic opportunities.

OUR Mission: WE provide quality public service that contribute to a healthy, safe and prosperous community, in a sustainable manner.

OUR Values: Accountability, Cost Consciousness, Equity, Excellence, Honesty, Innovation, Leadership, Respect and Teamwork
ALIGNMENT TO THE 2012 – 2015 STRATEGIC PLAN:

Strategic Priority #1 - A Prosperous & Healthy Community:
WE enhance our image, economy and well-being by demonstrating that Hamilton is a great place to live, work, play and learn.

Strategic Objective:
1.6 Enhance Overall Sustainability (financial, economic, social and environmental).

Staff Comments:

The inclusion of this property in the Register will allow staff the opportunity to review any proposed demolition, new development, or redevelopment on or adjacent to the subject property to ensure consistency with the City’s approved planning polices. There is demonstrated public interest in the cultural heritage value of this property (past inclusion in the City’s Inventory and requests for designation) and its conservation as a community resource. The approval of the recommendations of this Report acknowledges this public interest.

The approval of the recommendations of this Report demonstrates:

- Council’s commitment to the Council-approved designation process and to existing planning policies; and,
- Council’s commitment to conserving cultural heritage resources, as directed by Provincial and Federal level policies.
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August 4, 2013

Planning Division  
Planning and Economic Development Department  
City Hall  
71 Main St. West 6th Floor  
Hamilton, On, L8P 4Y5

Attention: Alissa Golden, Meghan House, Heritage Planners

This letter is a request to have the original Cathedral High School reviewed for Heritage Designation on a priority basis as its future is unclear after September 2013.

The original Cathedral High School, has occupied the site at 380 Main St. East in Hamilton since it opened to male students only in September of 1928. The building was designed by Messrs. Hutton and Souter, Hamilton architects and built by Pigott Construction Company also of Hamilton. It was built in a newer method for the time, reinforced concrete and steel frame construction.

Cathedral High School, faced with Credit Valley Sandstone and trimmed in light buff Indiana Limestone has a dramatic impact on the streetscape with its 120 feet frontage facing Main Street. Enhancing the impressive building is the tiered lawn with stairs and deep setback from the street. The building retains its original features, arch with recessed main entry, original lights flanking the entry arch, original locking steel gate, hanging main light in the recess, and symmetry of original windows grouped in threes flanking either side of a centre square tower.

The building has been continually in use as a school since 1928. In 1947, His Excellency The Most Rev. J.F. Ryan, D.D., J.C.D., Bishop of Hamilton, blessed and dedicated a memorial that remains in the school, to the students who gave their lives in the Second World War. The interior of the building retains many of its original features with floors of wood and terrazzo and trim of quarter-cut oak.

Stinson Community prides itself on its heritage homes and atmosphere that includes the Fearman House, the Lister House, the remains of the Central Collegiate Institute, among a few. The Community was active in 1993 in working with the Roman Catholic Diocese of Hamilton and the City of Hamilton to ensure that the Fearman House was saved and repurposed for use beneficial to the community. The Stinson History Committee wishes now to ensure that Cathedral High School receives Heritage Designation and is preserved for the future.

Sincerely,

Elisabeth Brown  
Stinson Community Association, Acting President  
194 Grant Ave.  
Hamilton, ON, L8N 2Y2

Enclosures
Appendix “B” to Report PED13168

Location Map

File Name/Number: 378 Main St E
Date: August 13, 2013
Appendix “A” Scale: N.T.S.
Planner/Technician: AG/AL

Subject Property

378 Main Street East

Ward 2 Key Map N.T.S.
Cathedral Boy’s School – Front façade facing north onto Main Street East (circa late 1920s)

Cathedral High School – Front façade facing north onto Main Street East (2013)
Cathedral High School – East façade, side entrance and 1942 east addition (2013)

Cathedral High School – West façade facing Emerald Street and 1951 side addition (1989)
Cathedral High School – North west façade onto Main Street East and Emerald Street (2013)

Cathedral High School – North East façade onto Main Street East (2013)
DESIGNATION PROCESS

Designation initiated

Preliminary Staff screening property meets one or more of three Ontario Heritage Act (OHA) criteria (Yes) (No) Property does not move forward and person/body that initiated request informed

Staff Report and Preliminary Screening To EDPC and Council for direction and prioritization (Yes) (No) Denial. Request does not move forward

High Place on Current Year Work Plan or Medium Place on Work Plan in 2-3 Years or Low Place on Work Plan in 4-5 Years

Property placed in register after consultation with MHC

Full cultural heritage assessment prepared (full screening with City criteria and OHA criteria)

Assessment reviewed by Inventory and Research Subcommittee of the Municipal Heritage Committee

MHC consider staff assessment

MHC provides advice to EDPC via Staff report and recommendation

Staff Report. Cultural Heritage Assessment, Draft By-law and Statement of Cultural Heritage Value forwarded to EDPC for consideration

Council makes a decision on the proposed designation (Yes) (No) Proposed designation denied

Proposed Designation approved Notice of Intent to Designate served and advertised (Yes) (No)

Objection received within 30 days

Proposed designation referred to Conservation Review Board (CRB)

CRB hearing and report

Council considers CRB report and recommendations (Yes) (No) Notice of Withdrawal

Designation by-law passed and registered on Title

Council Approved on October 29, 2008
Ontario Heritage Act

ONTARIO REGULATION 9/06

CRITERIA FOR DETERMINING CULTURAL HERITAGE VALUE OR INTEREST

Criteria:

1. The criteria set out in Sub-section (2) are prescribed for the purposes of Clause 29 (1) (a) of the Act. O. Reg. 9/06, s. 1 (1).

(2) A property may be designated under Section 29 of the Act if it meets one or more of the following criteria for determining whether it is of cultural heritage value or interest:

1. The property has design value or physical value because it:
   
   i. Is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material, or construction method;
   
   ii. Displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,
   
   iii. Demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement.

2. The property has historical value or associative value because it:
   
   i. Has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;
   
   ii. Yields, or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,
   
   iii. Demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer, or theorist who is significant to a community.

3. The property has contextual value because it:
   
   i. Is important in defining, maintaining, or supporting the character of an area;
   
   ii. Is physically, functionally, visually, or historically linked to its surroundings; or,
   
   iii. Is a landmark. O. Reg. 9/06, s. 1 (2).
## Requests to Designate Properties Under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act: Priorities (as Amended by Report PED13160)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Date of Request</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>104 King Street West, Dundas (Dundas Post Office)</td>
<td>24-Sep-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>71 Claremont Drive, Hamilton</td>
<td>28-May-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>82-112 King Street East, Hamilton (Royal Connaught)</td>
<td>09-Apr-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>167 Book Road, Ancaster</td>
<td>24-May-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>1284 Main Street East, Hamilton (Delta Secondary School)</td>
<td>17-May-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>52-56 Charlton Avenue West, Hamilton</td>
<td>24-Apr-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>91 John Street South, Hamilton</td>
<td>25-Oct-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>140 Locke Street South, Hamilton</td>
<td>03-Jun-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>3027 Homestead Drive, Mount Hope</td>
<td>24-Jan-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>1395-1401 King Street East, Hamilton</td>
<td>04-Aug-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>1062 Golf Club Road, Binbrook (Woodburn)</td>
<td>27-Mar-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Gage Park, Hamilton</td>
<td>23-Mar-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Gore Park, Hamilton</td>
<td>24-Apr-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Tisdale House, Ancaster</td>
<td>16-Aug-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>111 Kenilworth Access, Hamilton (Barton Reservoir)</td>
<td>26-Feb-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>262 MacNab Street North, Hamilton</td>
<td>18-Dec-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Desjardins Canal, Dundas</td>
<td>26-Feb-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>1065 Highway 8, Stoney Creek</td>
<td>27-Aug-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>170 Longwood Road North, Hamilton</td>
<td>15-Feb-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>4-12 John Street North, Hamilton (Treble Hall)</td>
<td>08-Feb-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>7 Ravenscliffe Avenue, Hamilton</td>
<td>10-Jun-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>39 Lakeview Drive, Stoney Creek</td>
<td>17-Feb-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>231 Ferguson Avenue South (Ferguson Avenue Pumping Station), Hamilton</td>
<td>18-Oct-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>2251 Rymal Road East, Stoney Creek (Former Elfrida Church)</td>
<td>20-Dec-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>105 Erie Avenue, Hamilton</td>
<td>02-May-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>634 Rymal Road West, Hamilton (Paradise Corner Children’s Centre and Former Union School)</td>
<td>07-June-13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Requests to Designate Properties Under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act: Priorities (as Amended by this Report)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Date of Request</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>104 King Street West, Dundas (Dundas Post Office)</td>
<td>24-Sep-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>71 Claremont Drive, Hamilton</td>
<td>28-May-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>82-112 King Street East, Hamilton (Royal Connaught)</td>
<td>09-Apr-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>167 Book Road, Ancaster</td>
<td>24-May-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>1284 Main Street East, Hamilton (Delta Secondary School)</td>
<td>17-May-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>52-56 Charlton Avenue West, Hamilton</td>
<td>24-Apr-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>91 John Street South, Hamilton</td>
<td>25-Oct-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>140 Locke Street South, Hamilton</td>
<td>03-Jun-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>3027 Homestead Drive, Mount Hope</td>
<td>24-Jan-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>1395-1401 King Street East, Hamilton</td>
<td>04-Aug-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>1062 Golf Club Road, Binbrook (Woodburn)</td>
<td>27-Mar-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Gage Park, Hamilton</td>
<td>23-Mar-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Gore Park, Hamilton</td>
<td>24-Apr-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Tisdale House, Ancaster</td>
<td>16-Aug-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>111 Kenilworth Access, Hamilton (Barton Reservoir)</td>
<td>26-Feb-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>262 MacNab Street North, Hamilton</td>
<td>18-Dec-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>378 Main Street East, Hamilton (Cathedral School)</td>
<td>04-Aug-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Desjardins Canal, Dundas</td>
<td>26-Feb-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>1065 Highway 8, Stoney Creek</td>
<td>27-Aug-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>170 Longwood Road North, Hamilton</td>
<td>15-Feb-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>4-12 John Street North, Hamilton (Treble Hall)</td>
<td>08-Feb-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>7 Ravenscliffe Avenue, Hamilton</td>
<td>10-Jun-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>39 Lakeview Drive, Stoney Creek</td>
<td>17-Feb-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>231 Ferguson Avenue South (Ferguson Avenue Pumping Station), Hamilton</td>
<td>18-Oct-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>2251 Rymal Road East, Stoney Creek (Former Elfrida Church)</td>
<td>20-Dec-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>105 Erie Avenue, Hamilton</td>
<td>02-May-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>634 Rymal Road West, Hamilton (Paradise Corner Children’s Centre and Former Union School)</td>
<td>07-June-13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>