Present: Chair T. Whitehead  
Councillors: B. Bratina, B. Clark, S. Duvall, L. Ferguson,  
B. McHattie, D. Mitchell, R. Pasuta, M. Pearson  

Staff Present: T. McCabe, General Manager – Planning and Economic  
Development  
D. Cuming, A. Fletcher, T. Horzelenberg, H. Milsome, E. Maloney,  
D. Fama, G. Paparella – Planning and Economic Development  
A. Zuidema – Legal Services  
A. Rawlings – Co-ordinator, City Clerk's Office  

THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND PLANNING COMMITTEE PRESENTS  
REPORT 08-011 AND RESPECTFULLY RECOMMENDS:  

1. Information Report - Status of the Implementation and Enforcement of the  
Hamilton Sign By-law (PED05172(d)) (City Wide) (Item 5.1)  

(a) That Report No. PED05172(d) be received for information.  

(b) That the review process, as set out in Report PED05172(d), currently being  
undertaken respecting By-law No. 06-243, being the Hamilton Sign By-law, and  
the enforcement thereof, be endorsed.  

(c) That staff be authorized and directed to submit a comprehensive  
recommendation report by the fourth quarter of this year, including proposals to  
implement best practices respecting enforcement and a definitive assessment of  
the effectiveness of the subject regulations.  

2. Agriculture & Rural Affairs Advisory Committee March 25th, 2008 Minutes (Item 5.2(a))

That the Minutes of the Agricultural & Rural Affairs Committee meeting of March 25, 2008 be received.

3. Application for a Modification to the City of Stoney Creek Zoning By-law No. 3692-92 for Lands Located at 268-274 Upper Centennial Parkway (Stoney Creek) (PED08145) (Ward 9) (Item 6.1)

That approval be given to Zoning Application ZAR-08-003, by Petro-Canada, Owner, for a change in zoning from the Rural Commercial “RC” Zone to the Rural Commercial “RC-5” Zone in order to add a convenience restaurant with an accessory drive-thru and outdoor patio as permitted uses, in conjunction with a motor vehicle service station and convenience food store, on the lands located at 268-274 Upper Centennial Parkway, (Stoney Creek), as shown on Appendix “A” to Report PED08145, on the following basis:

(a) That the draft By-law, attached as Appendix “B” to Report PED08145, which has been prepared in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor, be enacted by City Council.

(b) That the proposed change in zoning conforms to the Hamilton-Wentworth Official Plan and the City of Stoney Creek Official Plan.

4. Amendments to the Downtown and Community Renewal Community Improvement Plan and Project Area (PED07074(b)) (Wards 1 and 2) (Item 6.3)

(a) That the Downtown and Community Renewal Community Improvement Project Area be amended by expanding the Downtown Hamilton Community Improvement Project Area, as shown on Sheet 1 of Appendix ‘A’ attached to Report PED07074(b), and that the by-law attached to Report PED07074(b) as Appendix ‘A’ be enacted.

(b) That the Main Street Housing Loan and Grant Program be expanded to apply to the Downtown Hamilton Community Improvement Project Area and that the Downtown and Community Renewal Community Improvement Plan be amended as set out in Report PED07074(b), and that the by-law attached to Report PED07074(b) as Appendix ‘B’ be enacted.

(c) That, upon enactment of the by-laws noted in Recommendations (a) and (b) of Report PED07074(b), Appendix ‘D’ to the Downtown and Community Renewal Community Improvement Plan, being the program description and terms for the
Main Street Housing Loan and Grant Program, be deleted and replaced respectively with the program description and terms attached in Appendix ‘C’ to Report PED07074(b).

(d) That Committee support the extension of the City’s Development Charges Exemption policy to the expansion area; and that this matter be referred to Finance and Corporate Services staff, for a report to a Public Meeting at Audit and Administration Committee, respecting a proposed amendment to the Development Charges By-law, to consider the extension of the Development Charges Exemption Policy to the subject area; and that the Public Meeting be held in September, 2008.

(e) That the relevant item on the Economic Development and Planning Committee Outstanding Business List be identified as complete and removed from the list.

5. Changes to the Building By-law and Permit Fees (PED08141) (City Wide) (Item 8.1)

That the By-law attached to Report PED08141 as Appendix “A”, prescribing permit fees for building permit applications and related matters, be enacted.

6. Hamilton LACAC (Municipal Heritage Committee) Logo Proposal and Payment of an Honorarium for a Submitted Design (City Wide) (PED08144) (Item 8.1)

(a) That the Hamilton LACAC (Municipal Heritage Committee) be advised that their request for a logo be approved, in principle, and that the logo be used solely for promotional material and that the logo not be used for letterhead or correspondence.

(b) That Evelyn Kelch, graphic designer, be recognized for her work on the draft logo with an honorarium of $50.00 to be paid from the LACAC Poster Account.

(c) That the matter of the use of secondary logos for Advisory Committees and sub-Committees of Council, as set out in report PED08144, be directed to the Governance Committee, for an examination of the current corporate policy, and that the existing logos be grandfathered.

7. 2008 Community Investment Support Project (CISP) (PED08131) (City Wide) (Airport Implementation Task Force Report 08-002) (Item 8.3)

(a) That $20,000 be allocated as the City’s portion for the 2008 Community Investment support Project (CISP);
(b) That the $20,000 be funded from the Airport Joint Marketing Reserve Fund.

8. TradePort International Corporation Lease Compliance Audit and Performance Review (PED08142) (City Wide) (Airport Implementation Task Force Report 08-002) (Item 8.3)

(a) That Report PED08142 respecting TradePort international Corporation Lease Compliance Audit and Performance Review, be received for information and not be released as a public document, at this point.

(b) That Planning and Legal Staff be directed to meet with TradePort International, to advise TradePort that the City wishes to release the Report to the public, and to review and finalize those sections of the report which should be severed, as proprietary information, and to report back to Committee, with the report, revised to identify the sections proposed to be severed.

9. Provision of Schools to Keep Pace with New Developments (PD03136a) (City Wide) (Item 11.8)

(a) That Reports PD03136a and PD03136 (attached as Appendix “A” to Report PD03136a) be received for information.

(b) That the item regarding “Provision of schools in new areas and long-term costs of development” be removed from the Outstanding Business List.

FOR THE INFORMATION OF COUNCIL:

(a) CHANGES TO THE AGENDA (Item 1)

The Clerk advised of the following changes:

- additional delegation request from Cameron MacLeod, respecting a Hamilton Film and TV Fund, to be considered as Item 4.2

- additional Closed Session matter, regarding WHID, to be considered as Item 12.1

The Agenda for the June 17, 2008, meeting of the Economic Development & Planning Committee was approved, as amended.
(b) DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST (Item 2)

None

(c) APPROVAL OF MINUTES (Item 3)

The June 3, 2008 Minutes of the Economic Development and Planning Committee meeting were approved, as presented.

(d) John Weston respecting his request for a reduction in his fees for Locke Street BIA membership (Item 4.1)

On a Motion (Duvall/Clark), Committee agreed to hear Mr. Weston today.

Mr. Weston was not present to address Committee at that time. He arrived later in the meeting and addressed Committee. He expressed his concern about his tax increase due to the BIA levy and requested that staff contact appropriate Ministry staff to reduce his levy.

Committee discussed the matter and staff provided additional information.

Ron Marini explained that while there had been one previous case within a Hamilton BIA where a levy was reduced, this was due to the subject property being significantly larger than all the other properties within that BIA. Mr. Marini explained that the situation in the Locke Street BIA with Mr. Weston’s property was quite different, and that other properties are larger than his.

Committee agreed that no further action should be taken on the delegate’s request.

On a Motion (McHattie/Bratina), Committee received the delegation.

Chair Whitehead vacated the Chair to Councillor Pearson and advised Mr. Weston that a potential avenue for him to follow would be appeal his property assessment to IMPAC.

Councillor Whitehead then resumed the Chair.

(e) Cameron MacLeod respecting his proposal (added item)

On a Motion (Bratina/Mitchell), Committee agreed to hear the delegation at a future meeting.
Committee

Application for a Modification to the City of Stoney Creek Zoning By-law No. 3692-92 for Lands Located at 268-274 Upper Centennial Parkway (Stoney Creek) (PED08145) (Ward 9) (Item 6.1)

A Public Meeting was held.

Chair Whitehead advised the meeting of the following, in accordance with the provisions of the Planning Act,

a) If a person or public body does not make oral submissions at a public meeting or make written submissions to the Council of the City of Hamilton before the Zoning By-law is passed, the person or public body is not entitled to appeal the decision of the Council of the City of Hamilton to the Ontario Municipal Board.

b) If a person or public body does not make oral submissions at a public meeting, or make written submissions to the Council of the City of Hamilton before the Zoning By-law is passed, the person or public body may not be added as a party to the hearing of an appeal before the Ontario Municipal Board unless, in the opinion of the Board, there are reasonable grounds to do so.

On a Motion (Pearson/Ferguson), Committee agreed to forgo the staff presentation.

Danielle Fama was present to assist Committee with the report.

The applicant’s agent, Dave Weaver, advised that he was satisfied with the staff recommendation.

No members of the public came forward to address Committee.

Councillor McHattie noted his opposition to the drive-thru feature being proposed in this development. He explained that drive-thru facilities were wasteful of energy, contributed to climate change, and were not necessary.

Councillor Mitchell asked for clarification respecting the site specific zoning being proposed for the site.

Staff provided background to the rural commercial zoning on the property. Councillor Mitchell suggested that there were other similar properties in the rural area which included elements of both rural and urban uses, noting a property on Highway 20 which is presently under zoning application. He suggested that the kind of flexibility which was being shown by staff with the subject application should be extended to other properties in the rural area.

Committee approved the staff recommendation.

Councillor McHattie requested that his opposition be recorded.

(g) City Initiative for an Official Plan Amendment and Change in Zoning for Lands Located at 130 Bay Street South - St. Mark’s Anglican Church (Hamilton) (PED08128) (Ward 2) (Item 6.2)

A Public Meeting was held.

Chair Whitehead advised the meeting of the following, in accordance with the provisions of the Planning Act,

(a) If a person or public body does not make oral submissions at a public meeting or make written submissions to the Council of the City of Hamilton before the approval authority gives or refuses to give approval to the Official Plan Amendment and before the Zoning By-law is passed, the person or public body is not entitled to appeal the decision of the Council of the City of Hamilton to the Ontario Municipal Board.

(b) If a person or public body does not make oral submissions at a public meeting, or make written submissions to the Council of the City of Hamilton before the approval authority gives or refuses to give approval to the official plan amendment and before the Zoning By-law is passed, the person or public body may not be added as a party to the hearing of an appeal before the Ontario Municipal Board unless, in the opinion of the Board, there are reasonable grounds to do so.

Al Fletcher outlined the report to Committee and provided details of the history of the subject site. He explained that Council had previously directed staff to report back to Committee with recommendations for the highest and best use for the property, through a new zoning by-law which would include the re-use of the building. Mr. Fletcher explained the use of the “H” Holding categories on parts of the proposed zoning by-law.

Committee asked various questions of staff, and the following information was supplied:

- Durand Neighbourhood has a parkland deficiency of approximately 7.2 hectares
- Current Sunday School has structural problems, needs substantial work and has both internal and external bracing, balance of building is in reasonable shape
- Cost of internal bracing is $1200 per month
- Staff has reviewed potential re-use of building for City purposes, including housing Community Services, but has not found a suitable City use for property
- Subject property was purchased by City using parkland funds
- Several neighbourhoods across City have parkland deficiencies
- Subject site is too small for a regular park
- Building and grounds are designated under the Ontario Heritage Act

Sarah Matthews, President of the Durant Neighbourhood Association, addressed Committee and expressed her concerns about the matter. These included, but were not limited to, the following:

- St. Mark’s is important greenspace for this densely populated area
- Area contains only one park, Durand Park, and has a deficiency of parkland space
- Property bought as a park and should stay as open space
- No other potential parkland space available in Durand
- Essential to preserve park space, City could find buyer to re-use building and use designated parking at City Hall, or could demolish Sunday School and use site as a secret garden and folly.

Gail Burstyn addressed Committee and expressed her concerns. These included, but were not limited to, the following:

- site highly utilized by residents, should be preserved as greenspace, building should be preserved
- serious traffic issues at Hunter/Bay corner, these should not be exacerbated
- no need for further commercial in area, sufficient commercial uses already exist.

Virginia Cameron, Durand resident, addressed Committee and expressed her opposition to the subject application, and advised that the greenspace should be maintained.

Charlene Pond, Durand resident, addressed Committee and expressed her opposition to the subject application.

Dean Corkin addressed Committee and expressed his concerns about the application. These included, but were not limited to:

- maintenance of greenspace is essential
- re-use building for educational/social uses such as daycare would be good for the community
- eliminate residential use from proposed by-law.
Janice Brown, board member of Durand Neighbourhood Association, addressed Committee and expressed her concerns. These included, but were not limited to:

- site purchased in 1994 for greenspace and should remain greenspace, with the building preserved
- Committee should consider greenspace and its importance, quality of life should be considered and not money
- Site very important to neighbourhood and should be retained

Russell Elman, Durand resident, addressed Committee and expressed his concerns. These included, but were not limited to the following:

- the DNA has always supported the preservation of the property as open space
- open space represents an oasis in this part of the City, very valuable to community
- gardens are well maintained by City
- the City should leave the property as it currently is, and have a detailed review of all options, before any decisions made.

Committee discussed the matter in detail, and had further information supplied by staff.

Committee than passed the following Motion:

(McHattie/Bratina)

WHEREAS the property was designated as a heritage building in 1995,

AND WHEREAS the historical designation includes the open space,

AND WHEREAS the site was purchased in 1994 in parkland funding,

AND WHEREAS the Durand Neighbourhood currently has a 7.2 ha deficiency in parkland.

NOW THEREFORE, the item be referred back to staff with a request for information on the following items, with the interest of continued open space use of the site:

a) capital costs to make the building structurally sound (excluding the Sunday School building);

b) annual maintenance costs to keep building intact and site as an open space use;

c) Consideration of the costs and options associated with Option 6 Neighbourhood Park, as outlined in Appendix D, to report PED08128.
Amendments to the Downtown and Community Renewal Community Improvement Plan and Project Area (PED07074(b)) (Wards 1 and 2) (Item 6.3)

A Public Meeting was held.

Chair Whitehead advised the meeting of the following;

(a) If a person or public body does not make oral submissions at a public meeting or make written submissions to the Council of the City of Hamilton before the approval authority gives or refuses to give approval to the Official Plan Amendment and before the Zoning By-law is passed, the person or public body is not entitled to appeal the decision of the Council of the City of Hamilton to the Ontario Municipal Board.

(b) If a person or public body does not make oral submissions at a public meeting, or make written submissions to the Council of the City of Hamilton before the approval authority gives or refuses to give approval to the Official Plan amendment and before the Zoning By-law is passed, the person or public body may not be added as a party to the hearing of an appeal before the Ontario Municipal Board unless, in the opinion of the Board, there are reasonable grounds to do so.

Hazel Milsome outlined the report to Committee with the aid of a powerpoint presentation. She explained that the proposal is to extend the Downtown CIP and project area to include both sides of the boundary streets, being Cannon, Victoria, Hunter and Queen. She noted that the proposed change did not include a blanket extension of the exemption of development charges, but that applications for this exemption would be considered by Council on a case by case basis.

Brian Goodman addressed Committee and asked if the project area expansion also included the extension of the Downtown Secondary Plan policies, including streetscaping.

Ron Marini noted that staff is currently working on a number of changes, that Cannon, Hunter and Queen are all included in the streetscape policies, but that Victoria is not.

No other members of the public came forward to address Committee.

Committee discussed the matter in detail and had additional information supplied by staff.

Committee concerns included, but were not limited to:
extension of CIP and Project area should include extension of all benefits of the existing area
- unfair to exclude the development charges exemption from the expansion area, extension of area should not create inequities
- dealing with requested exemptions to the Development Charges By-law on a case by case basis would be difficult for potential developers.

Staff explained that Finance staff is presently undertaking a review of the entire Development Charges By-law and that this includes a full public process. The new Development Charges By-law must be in place by July 4, 2009. Staff considered that a proposal to amend the existing Development Charges By-law to exempt the newly expanded area could be confusing, as it would need to run alongside the review being undertaken of the entire By-law.

Staff explained that for this reason, the recommendation included dealing with requests for development charge exemptions on a case by case basis.

Committee expressed support for the extension of a development charges exemption for the expanded area, within a shorter time frame than that proposed for the entire Development Charges By-law review.

On a Motion (McHattie/Clark), Committee amended Recommendation (d) of the staff report.

Committee then approved the staff recommendation, as amended.

(i) Changes to the Building By-law and Permit Fees (PED08141) (City Wide) (Item 8.1)

Jorge Caetano was present to assist Committee with the report.

Committee approved the staff recommendations.

(j) Hamilton LACAC (Municipal Heritage Committee) Logo Proposal and Payment of an Honorarium for a Submitted Design (City Wide) (PED08144) (Item 8.1)

David Cuming outlined the report to Committee and explained the requests from Hamilton LACAC (Municipal Heritage Committee) and the current City policy which specifies the use of a single City of Hamilton logo. He noted that the staff recommendation is to refer the matter to the Governance Committee, for their consideration of the City policy, and to recognize the work of the Committee and the graphic designer.
Art French, Chair of Hamilton LACAC, addressed Committee and expressed his support for a logo, and the payment of the honorarium for the work of the designer. He noted that many other Heritage Committees used their own logo, and that it was appropriate for the City’s Heritage Committee to have one. He added that as the Hamilton LACAC had not approved the logo presented to them, he was requesting approval in principle for the use of a design which is to be worked on.

Mr. French confirmed that the logo would be used on all promotional material, such as posters and leaflets, and that it would not be used for letterhead. He emphasized the wish of Hamilton LACAC to have their own symbol.

Committee discussed the matter and had additional information supplied by staff. Members noted that other sub-committees already had their own logos, and support of the concept of a logo, and a referral back to Hamilton LACAC for further discussion was considered.

Councillor Ferguson noted the need to maintain one brand with one symbol for the whole City.

Councillor Clark expressed concerns that the approval of the logo was contrary to Council approved policy, and as such, should be forwarded to Governance Committee for their consideration. He considered that it was inappropriate for ED&P to be giving individual approvals for matters which are contrary to City policy, and paying an honorarium for which the sub-committee had not sought approval, and that the correct process should be followed.

Committee approved a revised recommendation, voting on each item separately.

The Item was split for voting purposes, as follows:

Sub-section (a), as amended, **CARRIED** on the following recorded vote:

Yeas: Bratina, Ferguson, McHattie, Pasuta, Mitchell, Pearson, Whitehead
Total Yeas: 7
Nays: Clark, Duvall
Total Nays: 2
Absent: Ferguson

Sub-section (b) **CARRIED** on the following recorded vote:

Yeas: Bratina, Ferguson, McHattie, Pasuta, Pearson, Mitchell
Total Yeas: 6
Nays: Clark, Duvall, Whitehead
Total Nays: 3
Sub-section (c) CARRIED on the following recorded vote:

Yeas: Bratina, Ferguson, McHattie, Mitchell, Pasuta, Pearson, Whitehead
Total Yeas: 7
Nays: Clark, Duvall,
Total Nays: 2

The item, as amended, was approved.

(k) Airport Implementation Task Force Report 08-002) (Item 8.3)

Guy Paparella gave an overview of the AITF report, and its recommendations.

Committee approved the staff recommendation.

(l) TradePort International Corporation Lease Compliance Audit and Performance Review (PED08142) (City Wide) (Airport Implementation Task Force Report 08-002) (Item 8.3)

Committee discussed the matter.

Councillor Clark asked why it was being recommended that the subject report remain Confidential.

Staff responded that the report contained proprietary information.

Councillor Clark suggested that discussions take place between City planning and legal staff, and TradePort, to agree on which portions of the report should be severed as being of third party, proprietary interest, and that the document should be brought back to ED&P for review thereafter.

On a Motion (Clark/McHattie), this amendment carried.

Committee then approved the Motion, as amended.

(m) Motions (Item 9)

None
(n) **Notices of Motion (Item 10)**

None

(o) **General Information (Item 11)**

(i) **Lighting in the Downtown From Outstanding Business List, due date June 17, 2008 (no copy) (Item 11.1)**

Hazel Milsome provided an update to Committee.

Staff has worked on the matter and the recommendation is now to hire a consultant to complete the item, with Public Works as the lead department. It is expected that there will be a report in September to Public Works.

On a Motion (Pearson/Bratina), Committee removed the item from the Outstanding Business List.

(ii) **Tow truck Licences From Outstanding Business List, due date June 17, 2008 (no copy) (Item 11.2)**

Marty Hazell provided an update to Committee. He explained that while Legal staff were not in favour of the original intent to restrict licences, the matter had now been widened to include input from other groups including Police Services.

On a Motion (Mitchell/Pearson), the new date for the report was agreed as December 2008.

(ii) **Student rental housing adjacent to McMaster and Mohawk From Outstanding Business List, due date June 17, 2008 (no copy) (Item 11.3)**

David Cuming gave Committee an update.

On a Motion (McHattie/Pearson), the new date for the report was agreed as August 5, 2008.
(iii) Student housing in Ainslie Wood-Westdale area From Outstanding Business List, due date June 17, 2008 (no copy) (Item 11.4)

Staff provided an update.

On a Motion (McHattie/Pearson), the new date for the report was agreed as August 5, 2008.

(iv) Ban on hand held phones etc, by taxi drivers From Outstanding Business List, due date June 17, 2008 (no copy) (Item 11.5)

Marty Hazell gave Committee an update.

On a Motion (Pearson/Clark), the new date for the report was agreed as September, 2008.

(v) Estimates respecting Cost of sewers, storm sewers, water provision From Outstanding Business List, due date June 17, 2008 (no copy) (Item 11.6)

Committee agreed to consider an Information Report on this item, as an addition to the Agenda.

Tony Sergi outlined his report.

On a Motion (Ferguson/Pearson), the item was received and removed from the Outstanding Business List.

(vi) Exemption to Sign By-law for humanitarian reasons, and Investigation of “Yellow Alert” Programme From Outstanding Business List, due date June 17, 2008 (no copy) (Item 11.7)

Marty Hazell explained the progress on the matter and suggested this matter be included in the overall report on the Sign By-law, to be presented to Committee in November, 2008.

On a Motion (Pearson/Duvall), Committee agreed to the revised date.
(vii) Provision of schools in new areas and long term costs of development. From Outstanding Business List (Copies of original reports, PD03136 and 03136(a), attached for information purposes) (Item 11.8)

Chair Whitehead explained that this matter had been forwarded to the Joint City School Boards Liaison Committee for consideration, but that due to changes in the operation of this group, it had not been reviewed.

On a Motion (Pearson/Mitchell), the original report was received, and the matter was removed from the Outstanding Business List.

(viii) News from the General Manager (no copy) (Item 11.9)

Tim McCabe gave Committee an overview of his recent visit to Vancouver, with a group of planning directors. Mr. McCabe distributed two handouts to Committee, one respecting development in Downtown Vancouver and the second respecting Markham Estates.

Staff advised that the increased parking fines have been approved by the Province, but are now waiting for approval from the Senior Regional Justice.

Councillor Mitchell requested that the new Official Plan include sites specifically dedicated for use as a church.

Bill Janssen provided some background information on how churches are considered as institutional uses, that the issue is being reviewed by staff, and will be identified in the Official Plan, and that the uses are already applied at the Secondary Planning Stage.

Councillor Mitchell requested that this discussion be included in the Minutes.

Councillor Clark requested that the recently released Provincial information respecting the Lister Block be provided to all Councillors. Staff agreed that the information would be forwarded with the staff report.

Councillor Clark requested that all the information presently in circulation respecting the Taro Landfill and the tipping fees, be forwarded to the appropriate Committee.

Mr. McCabe advised that Audit and Administration would be the appropriate Committee.
Chair Whitehead advised the meeting that Committee had met in Closed Session to consider advice from the Legal staff, respecting the Ontario Municipal Board Hearing for WHID (West Hamilton Innovation District). He noted that staff had been given appropriate direction, and that there was no further report.

(p) PRIVATE AND CONFIDENTIAL (Item 12)

On the following Motion, Committee moved into Closed Session at 1:20 p.m.

That Committee move into Closed Session to consider a matter pursuant to Section 239 of the Municipal Act, 2001, respecting the following:

(a) litigation or potential litigation, including a matter before administrative tribunals, affecting the municipality or local board, respecting the WHID Appeal at the Ontario Municipal Board.

On a Motion (McHattie/Pearson), Committee resumed in Open Session at 1:35 p.m.

Chair Whitehead advised the meeting that Committee had met in Closed Session to consider advice from Legal staff, respecting the Ontario Municipal Board Hearing for WHID (West Hamilton Innovation District). He noted that staff had been given appropriate direction, and that there was no further report.

(q) ADJOURNMENT (Item 13)

On a Motion, the Economic Development and Planning Committee adjourned at 2:20 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Terry Whitehead, Chair
Economic Development and Planning Committee

Alexandra Rawlings, Co-ordinator
Economic Development and Planning Committee
June 17, 2008